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#### Abstract

Let $I=\left(x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}\right)$ be a square-free monomial ideal of a polynomial ring $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ over an arbitrary field $K$ and let $A$ be the incidence matrix with column vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$. We will establish some connections between algebraic properties of certain graded algebras associated to $I$ and combinatorial optimization properties of certain polyhedra and clutters associated to $A$ and $I$ respectively. Some applications to Rees algebras and combinatorial optimization are presented.


## 1 Introduction

Let $R=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial ring over a field $K$ and let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ of height $g \geq 2$ minimally generated by a finite set of square-free monomials $F=\left\{x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}\right\}$ of degree at least two. As usual we use $x^{a}$ as an abbreviation for $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$, where $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. A clutter with vertex set $X$ is a family of subsets of $X$, called edges, none of which is included in another. We associate to the ideal $I$ a clutter $\mathcal{C}$ by taking the set of indeterminates $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ as vertex set and $E=\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{q}\right\}$ as edge set, where

$$
S_{k}=\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{k}}\right)=\left\{x_{i} \mid\left\langle e_{i}, v_{k}\right\rangle=1\right\} .
$$

Here $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the standard inner product and e_{i}$ is the $i t h$ unit vector. For this reason $I$ is called the edge ideal of $\mathcal{C}$. To stress the relationship between $I$ and $\mathcal{C}$ we will use the notation $I=I(\mathcal{C})$. A basic example of clutter is a graph. Algebraic

[^0]and combinatorial properties of edge ideals and graded algebras associated to graphs have been studied in $[10,20,32,33,38]$. The related notion of facet ideal has been studied by Faridi $[16,17]$ and Zheng [44].

The blowup algebras studied here are the Rees algebra

$$
R[I t]=R \oplus I t \oplus \cdots \oplus I^{i} t^{i} \oplus \cdots \subset R[t]
$$

where $t$ is a new variable, and the associated graded ring

$$
\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)=R / I \oplus I / I^{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus I^{i} / I^{i+1} \oplus \cdots \simeq R[I t] \otimes_{R}(R / I)
$$

with multiplication $\left(a+I^{i+1}\right)\left(b+I^{j+1}\right)=a b+I^{i+j+1}, a \in I^{i}, b \in I^{j}$.
In the sequel $A$ will denote the incidence matrix of order $n \times q$ whose column vectors are $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$. In order to link the properties of these algebras with combinatorial optimization problems we consider the set covering polyhedron

$$
Q(A)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}\right\}
$$

and the related system of linear inequalities $x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)$. Recall that this system is called totally dual integral (TDI) if the maximum in the LP-duality equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{\langle\alpha, x\rangle \mid x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}\}=\max \{\langle y, \mathbf{1}\rangle \mid y \geq 0 ; A y \leq \alpha\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an integral optimum solution $y$ for each integral vector $\alpha$ with finite maximum. If the system is totally dual integral it is seen that $Q(A)$ has only integral vertices, this follows from [30, Theorem 22.1, Corollary 22.1.a, pp. 310-311].

We are able to express algebraic properties of blowup algebras in terms of TDI systems and combinatorial properties of clutters, such as the integrality of $Q(A)$ and the König property. An important goal here is to establish bridges between commutative algebra and combinatorial optimization, which could be beneficial to both areas. Necessary and/or sufficient conditions for the normality of $R[I t]$ and the reducedness of $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ are shown. Some of our results give some support to a conjecture of Conforti and Cornuéjols (Conjecture 4.17). Applications to Rees algebras theory and combinatorial optimization are presented.

Along the paper we introduce some of the algebraic and combinatorial notions that are most relevant. For unexplained terminology and notation we refer to $[26,29,30]$ and $[28,35]$. See [11] for detailed information about clutters.

## 2 Vertex covers of clutters

The set of non-negative real numbers will be denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. To avoid repetitions throughout this article we shall use the notation and assumptions introduced in Section 1. For convenience we shall always assume that each variable $x_{i}$ occurs in at least one monomial of $F$.

Definition 2.1 A subset $C \subset X$ is a minimal vertex cover of the clutter $\mathcal{C}$ if: (i) every edge of $\mathcal{C}$ contains at least one vertex of $C$, and (ii) there is no proper subset of $C$ with the first property. If $C$ satisfies condition (i) only, then $C$ is called a vertex cover of $\mathcal{C}$.

The first aim is to characterize this notion in terms of the integral vertices of set covering polyhedrons and the minimal primes of edge ideals.

Notation The support of $x^{a}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ is $\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{a}\right)=\left\{x_{i} \mid a_{i}>0\right\}$.
Proposition 2.2 The following are equivalent:
(a) $\mathfrak{p}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ is a minimal prime of $I=I(\mathcal{C})$.
(b) $C=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{C}$.
(c) $\alpha=e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r}$ is a vertex of $Q(A)$.

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ : It follows readily by noticing that the minimal primes of the square-free monomial ideal $I$ are face ideals, that is, they are generated by subsets of the set of variables, see [39, Proposition 5.1.3].
(b) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ : Fix $1 \leq i \leq r$. To make notation simpler fix $i=1$. We may assume that there is an $s_{1}$ such that $x^{v_{j}}=x_{1} m_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, s_{1}$ and $x_{1} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{j}}\right)$ for $j>s_{1}$. Notice that $\operatorname{supp}\left(m_{k_{1}}\right) \cap\left(C \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right)=\emptyset$ for some $1 \leq k_{1} \leq s_{1}$, otherwise $C \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{C}$ strictly contained in $C$, a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{supp}\left(m_{k_{1}}\right) \cap C=\emptyset$ because $I$ is square-free. Hence for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ there is $v_{k_{i}}$ in $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right\}$ such that $x^{v_{k_{i}}}=x_{i} m_{k_{i}}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(m_{k_{i}}\right) \subset\left\{x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. The vector $\alpha$ is clearly in $Q(A)$, and since $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=r+1}^{n} \cup\left\{v_{k_{1}}, \ldots, v_{k_{r}}\right\}$ is linearly independent, and

$$
\left\langle\alpha, e_{i}\right\rangle=0 \quad(i=r+1, \ldots, n) ; \quad\left\langle\alpha, v_{k_{i}}\right\rangle=1 \quad(i=1, \ldots, r)
$$

we get that the vector $\alpha$ is a basic feasible solution. Therefore by [1, Theorem 2.3] $\alpha$ is a vertex of $Q(A)$.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ : It is clear that $C$ intersects all the edges of the clutter $\mathcal{C}$ because $\alpha \in Q(A)$. If $C^{\prime} \subsetneq C$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{C}$, then the vector $\alpha^{\prime}=\sum_{x_{i} \in C^{\prime}} e_{i}$ satisfies $\alpha^{\prime} A \geq \mathbf{1}$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \geq 0$. Using that $\alpha$ is a basic feasible solution in the sense of [1] it is not hard to verify that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is also a vertex of $Q(A)$. By the finite basis theorem [41, Theorem 4.1.3] we can write

$$
Q(A)=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}+\operatorname{conv}(V)
$$

where $V$ is the vertex set of $Q(A)$. As $\alpha=\beta+\alpha^{\prime}$, for some $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we get

$$
Q(A)=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}+\operatorname{conv}(V \backslash\{\alpha\})
$$

Hence the vertices of $Q(A)$ are contained in $V \backslash\{\alpha\}$ (see [4, Theorem 7.2]), a contradiction. Thus $C$ is a minimal vertex cover.

Corollary 2.3 $A$ vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an integral vertex of $Q(A)$ if and only if $\alpha$ is equal to $e_{i_{1}}+\cdots+e_{i_{s}}$ for some minimal vertex cover $\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{s}}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 it suffices to observe that any integral vertex of $Q(A)$ has entries in $\{0,1\}$ because $A$ has entries in $\{0,1\}$. See [34, Lemma 4.6].

A set of edges of the clutter $\mathcal{C}$ is independent if no two of them have a common vertex. We denote the smallest number of vertices in any minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{C}$ by $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})$ and the maximum number of independent edges of $\mathcal{C}$ by $\beta_{1}(\mathcal{C})$. These numbers are related to min-max problems because they satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \min \{\langle\mathbf{1}, x\rangle \mid x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}\} \\
& \quad=\max \{\langle y, \mathbf{1}\rangle \mid y \geq 0 ; A y \leq \mathbf{1}\} \geq \beta_{1}(\mathcal{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})=\beta_{1}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if both sides of the equality have integral optimum solutions.

These two numbers can be interpreted in terms of $I$. By Proposition 2.2 the height of the ideal $I$, denoted by $\operatorname{ht}(I)$, is equal to the covering number $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})$. On the other hand the independence number $\beta_{1}(\mathcal{C})$ is equal to $\operatorname{mgrade}(I)$, the monomial grade of the ideal:

$$
\beta_{1}(\mathcal{C})=\max \left\{r \mid \exists \text { a regular sequence of monomials } x^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_{r}} \in I\right\}
$$

The equality $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})=\beta_{1}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to require $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t^{g} \in R[I t]$, where $g$ is the covering number $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.4 If $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})=\beta_{1}(\mathcal{C})$ we say that the clutter $\mathcal{C}$ (or the ideal $I$ ) has the König property.

## 3 Rees algebras and polyhedral geometry

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right\}$ be the set of exponent vectors of $x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}$ and let

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n},\left(v_{1}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(v_{q}, 1\right)\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the $i$ th unit vector. The Rees cone of $\mathcal{A}$ is the rational polyhedral cone, denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, consisting of the linear combinations of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ with non-negative coefficients. Note $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)=n+1$. Thus according to [41] there is a unique irreducible representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}=H_{e_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{e_{n+1}}^{+} \cap H_{a_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{a_{r}}^{+} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $0 \neq a_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$ and $\left\langle a_{i}, e_{n+1}\right\rangle=-1$ for all $i$. As usual $H_{a}^{+}$denotes the closed halfspace

$$
H_{a}^{+}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid\langle\alpha, a\rangle \geq 0\right\}
$$

and $H_{a}$ is the hyperplane through the origin with normal vector $a$.

Theorem 3.1 The function $\varphi: \mathbb{Q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$ given by $\varphi(\alpha)=(\alpha,-1)$ induces a bijective map

$$
\varphi: V \longrightarrow\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}
$$

between the set of vertices $V$ of $Q(A)$ and the set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ of normal vectors that occur in the irreducible representation of $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

Proof. First we show the containment $\varphi(V) \subset\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$. Take $\alpha$ in $V$. By [1, Theorem 2.3] $\alpha$ is a basic feasible solution. Hence $\left\langle\alpha, v_{i}\right\rangle \geq 1$ for $i=1, \ldots, q$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and there exist $n$ linearly independent vectors $v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}, e_{j_{1}}, \ldots, e_{j_{s}}$ in $\mathcal{A} \cup\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\langle\alpha, v_{i_{h}}\right\rangle=1$ and $\left\langle\alpha, e_{j_{m}}\right\rangle=0$ for all $h, m$. It follows that the set

$$
F=H_{(\alpha,-1)} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}
$$

has dimension $n$ and $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset H_{(\alpha,-1)}^{+}$. Therefore $F$ is a facet of $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Using [41, Theorem 3.2.1] we obtain that $F=\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap H_{a_{p}}$ for some $1 \leq p \leq r$, and consequently $H_{(\alpha,-1)}=H_{a_{p}}$. Since the first $n$ entries of $a_{p}$ are non-negative and $\left\langle a_{p}, e_{n+1}\right\rangle=-1$ it follows that $\varphi(\alpha)=(\alpha,-1)=a_{p}$, as desired.

To show the reverse containment write $a_{p}=(\alpha,-1)$, with $1 \leq p \leq r$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We will prove that $\alpha$ is a vertex of $Q(A)$. Since Eq. (2) is an irreducible representation one has that the set

$$
F=H_{(\alpha,-1)} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}
$$

is a facet of the Rees cone $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, see [41, Theorem 3.2.1]. Hence there is a linearly independent set

$$
\left\{\left(v_{i_{1}}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(v_{i_{k}}, 1\right), e_{j_{1}}, \ldots, e_{j_{s}}\right\} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \quad(k+s=n)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(v_{i_{h}}, 1\right),(\alpha,-1)\right\rangle=0 & \Rightarrow \quad\left\langle v_{i_{h}}, \alpha\right\rangle=1 \quad(h=1, \ldots, k),  \tag{3}\\
\left\langle e_{j_{m}},(\alpha,-1)\right\rangle=0 & \Rightarrow\left\langle e_{j_{m}}, \alpha\right\rangle=0 \quad(m=1, \ldots, s) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not hard to see that $v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}, e_{j_{1}}, \ldots, e_{j_{s}}$ are linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Indeed if

$$
\lambda_{1} v_{i_{1}}+\cdots+\lambda_{k} v_{i_{k}}+\mu_{1} e_{j_{1}}+\cdots+\mu_{s} e_{j_{s}}=0 \quad\left(\lambda_{h}, \mu_{m} \in \mathbb{R}\right),
$$

then taking inner product with $\alpha$ and using Eqs. (3) and (4) we get

$$
\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}=0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{1}\left(v_{i_{1}}, 1\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{k}\left(v_{i_{k}}, 1\right)+\mu_{1} e_{j_{1}}+\cdots+\mu_{s} e_{j_{s}}=0
$$

Therefore $\lambda_{h}=0$ and $\mu_{m}=0$ for all $h, m$, as desired. From $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subset H_{a_{p}}^{+}$and $H_{a_{p}}^{+}=H_{(\alpha,-1)}^{+}$we get $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\left\langle\alpha, v_{i}\right\rangle \geq 1$ for all $i$. Altogether we obtain that $\alpha$ is a basic feasible solution, that is, $\alpha$ is a vertex of $Q(A)$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{s}$ be the minimal primes of the edge ideal $I=I(\mathcal{C})$ and let

$$
C_{k}=\left\{x_{i} \mid x_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}_{k}\right\} \quad(k=1, \ldots, s)
$$

be the corresponding minimal vertex covers of the clutter $\mathcal{C}$. By Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 in the sequel we may assume that

$$
a_{k}=\left(\sum_{x_{i} \in C_{k}} e_{i},-1\right) \quad(k=1, \ldots, s)
$$

Notation Let $d_{k}$ be the unique positive integer such that $d_{k} a_{k}$ has relatively prime integral entries. We set $\ell_{k}=d_{k} a_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, r$. If the first $n$ rational entries of $a_{k}$ are written in lowest terms, then $d_{k}$ is the least common multiple of the denominators. For $1 \leq k \leq r$, we have $d_{k}=-\left\langle\ell_{k}, e_{n+1}\right\rangle$.

Definition 3.2 The set covering polyhedron $Q(A)$ is integral if all its vertices have integral entries.

Corollary 3.3 The irreducible representation of the Rees cone has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}=H_{e_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{e_{n+1}}^{+} \cap H_{\ell_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{r}}^{+}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d_{k}=1$ if and only if $1 \leq k \leq s$, and $Q(A)$ is integral if and only if $r=s$.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 2.3.
Notation In the sequel we shall always assume that $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{r}$ are the integral vectors of Eq. (5).

Recall that the Simis cone of $\mathcal{A}$ is the rational polyhedral cone

$$
\operatorname{Cn}(\mathcal{A})=H_{e_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{e_{n+1}}^{+} \cap H_{\ell_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{s}}^{+}
$$

and the symbolic Rees algebra of $I$ is the $K$ algebra:

$$
R_{s}(I)=R+I^{(1)} t+I^{(2)} t^{2}+\cdots+I^{(i)} t^{i}+\cdots \subset R[t]
$$

where $I^{(i)}=\mathfrak{p}_{1}^{i} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{s}^{i}$ is the $i t h$ symbolic power of $I$. Symbolic Rees algebras have a combinatorial interpretation [22]. Notice the following description:

$$
I^{(b)}=\left(\left\{x^{a} \mid\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{i}\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, s\right\}\right)
$$

A first use of the Simis cone is the following expression for the symbolic Rees algebra. In particular $R_{s}(I)$ is a finitely generated $K$-algebra [27] by Gordan's Lemma [6].

Theorem 3.4 ([15]) If $S=\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap \operatorname{Cn}(\mathcal{A})$ and $K[S]=K\left[\left\{x^{a} t^{b} \mid(a, b) \in S\right\}\right]$ is its semigroup ring, then $R_{s}(I)=K[S]$.

Let $\mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be the subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, consisting of the linear combinations of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ with non-negative integer coefficients. The Rees algebra of $I$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
R[I t] & =K\left[\left\{x^{a} t^{b} \mid(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\}\right]  \tag{6}\\
& =R \oplus I t \oplus \cdots \oplus I^{i} t^{i} \oplus \cdots \subset R[t] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

According to [39, Theorem 7.2.28] and [36, p. 168] the integral closure of $R[I t]$ in its field of fractions can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{R[I t]} & =K\left[\left\{x^{a} t^{b} \mid(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\}\right]  \tag{8}\\
& =R \oplus \bar{I} t \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{I^{i}} t^{i} \oplus \cdots \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\overline{I^{i}}=\left(\left\{x^{a} \in R \mid \exists p \geq 1 ;\left(x^{a}\right)^{p} \in I^{p i}\right\}\right)$ is the integral closure of $I^{i}$. Hence, by Eqs. (6) to (9), we get that $R[I t]$ is a normal domain if and only if any of the following two equivalent conditions hold:
(a) $\mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
(b) $I^{i}=\overline{I^{i}}$ for all $i \geq 1$.

If the second condition holds we say that $I$ is a normal ideal.
Proposition 3.5 For $1 \leq i \leq r$ we write $a_{i}=\left(a_{i}^{\prime},-1\right)$. Let $B$ be the matrix with column vectors $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{r}^{\prime}$ and let $Q=\mathbb{Q}_{+}^{n}+\operatorname{conv}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$. Then
(a) $\overline{I^{i}}=\left(\left\{x^{a} \in R \mid a \in i Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}\right)$.
(b) $Q=Q(B)=\{x \mid x \geq 0 ; x B \geq \mathbf{1}\}$. In particular $Q(B)$ is integral.

Proof. Part (a) follows from Eq. (9) and part (b) follows from Eq. (2).
In the sequel $J_{k}^{\left(d_{k}\right)}$ will denote the ideal of $R[I t]$ given by

$$
J_{k}^{\left(d_{k}\right)}=\left(\left\{x^{a} t^{b} \in R[I t] \mid\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle \geq d_{k}\right\}\right) \quad(k=1, \ldots, r)
$$

and $J_{k}$ will denote the ideal of $R[I t]$ given by

$$
J_{k}=\left(\left\{x^{a} t^{b} \in R[I t] \mid\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle>0\right\}\right) \quad(k=1, \ldots, r)
$$

where $d_{k}=-\left\langle\ell_{k}, e_{n+1}\right\rangle$. If $d_{k}=1$, we have $J_{k}^{(1)}=J_{k}$. In general $J_{k}^{\left(d_{k}\right)}$ might not be equal to the $d_{k}$ th symbolic power of $J_{k}$. The localization of $R[I t]$ at $R \backslash \mathfrak{p}_{k}$ is denoted by $R[I t]_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}}$.

Proposition $3.6 J_{1}, \ldots, J_{r}$ are height one prime ideals containing $I R[I t]$ and $J_{k}$ is equal to $\mathfrak{p}_{k} R[I t]_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}} \cap R[I t]$ for $k=1, \ldots, s$. If $Q(A)$ is integral, then

$$
\operatorname{rad}(I R[I t])=J_{1} \cap J_{2} \cap \cdots \cap J_{s}
$$

Proof. $I R[I t]$ is clearly contained in $J_{k}$ for all $k$ by construction. To show that $J_{k}$ is a prime ideal of height one it suffices to notice that the right hand side of the isomorphism:

$$
R[I t] / J_{k} \simeq K\left[\left\{x^{a} t^{b} \in R[I t] \mid\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle=0\right\}\right]
$$

is an $n$-dimensional integral domain, because $F_{k}=\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap H_{\ell_{k}}$ is a facet of the Rees cone for all $k$. Set $P_{k}=\mathfrak{p}_{k} R[I t]_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}} \cap R[I t]$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$. This ideal is a minimal prime of $I R[I t]$ (see [25]) and admits the following description

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{k} & =\mathfrak{p}_{k} R_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}}\left[\mathfrak{p}_{k} R_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}} t\right] \cap R[I t] \\
& =\mathfrak{p}_{k}+\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k}^{2} \cap I\right) t+\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k}^{3} \cap I^{2}\right) t^{2}+\cdots+\left(\mathfrak{p}_{k}^{i+1} \cap I^{i}\right) t^{i}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $x^{a} \in \mathfrak{p}_{k}^{b+1}$ if and only if $\left\langle a, \sum_{x_{i} \in C_{k}} e_{i}\right\rangle \geq b+1$. Hence $J_{k}=P_{k}$.
Assume that $Q(A)$ is integral, i.e., $r=s$. Take $x^{\alpha} t^{b} \in J_{k}$ for all $k$. Using Eq. (5) it is not hard to see that $(\alpha, b+1) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, that is $x^{\alpha} t^{b+1}$ is in $\overline{R[I t]}$ and $x^{\alpha} t^{b+1} \in \overline{I^{b+1}} t^{b+1}$. It follows that $x^{\alpha} t^{b}$ is a monomial in the radical of $I R[I t]$. This proves the asserted equality.

For use below recall that the analytic spread of $I$ is given by

$$
\ell(I)=\operatorname{dim} R[I t] / \mathfrak{m} R[I t] ; \quad \mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

Corollary 3.7 If $Q(A)$ is integral, then $\ell(I)<n$.
Proof. Since $Q(A)$ is integral, we have $r=s$. If $\ell(I)=n$, then the height of $\mathfrak{m} R[I t]$ is equal to 1 . Hence there is a height one prime ideal $P$ of $R[I t]$ such that $I R[I t] \subset \mathfrak{m} R[I t] \subset P$. By Proposition 3.6 the ideal $P$ has the form $\mathfrak{p}_{k} R[I t]_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}} \cap R[I t]$, this readily yields a contradiction.

Theorem $3.8([\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 3}]) \inf \left\{\operatorname{depth}\left(R / I^{i}\right) \mid i \geq 1\right\} \leq \operatorname{dim}(R)-\ell(I)$. If $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then the equality holds.

By a result of Brodmann [3], the depth of $R / I^{k}$ is constant for $k$ sufficiently large. Broadmann improved this inequality by showing that the constant value is bounded by $\operatorname{dim}(R)-\ell(I)$. For a study of the initial and limit behavior of the numerical function $f(k)=\operatorname{depth} R / I^{k}$ see [21].

Lemma 3.9 Let $x_{1} \in C_{k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq s$. If $x^{v_{i}}=x_{1} x^{v_{i}^{\prime}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $x_{1} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right)$ for $i>p$, then there is $x^{v_{j}^{\prime}}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{j}^{\prime}}\right) \cap C_{k}=\emptyset$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{j}^{\prime}}\right) \cap C_{k} \neq \emptyset$ for all $j$, then $C_{k} \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{C}$, a contradiction because $C_{k}$ is a minimal vertex cover.

Proposition 3.10 If $P \in\left\{J_{1}, \ldots, J_{s}\right\}$, then $R[I t] \cap I R[I t]_{P}=P$.

Proof. Set $P=J_{k}$. We may assume that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.x^{v_{1}} t, \ldots, x^{v_{p}} t\right)$ is the set of all $x_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.x^{v_{i}} t\right)$ such that $x_{i} \in P\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.x^{v_{i}} t \in P\right)$. Notice that $\mathfrak{p}_{k}$ is equal to $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ and set $C=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. In general the left hand side is contained in $P$. To show the reverse inclusion we first prove the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, x^{v_{1}} t, \ldots, x^{v_{p}} t\right) R[I t] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x^{a} t^{b} \in P$. Thus $x^{a} t^{b}=x_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\mu_{n}}\left(x^{v_{1}} t\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots\left(x^{v_{q}} t\right)^{\lambda_{q}}$ and $\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle>0$. Hence $\left\langle e_{i}, \ell_{k}\right\rangle>0$ for some $i$ or $\left\langle\left(v_{j}, 1\right), \ell_{k}\right\rangle>0$ for some $j$. Therefore $x^{a} t^{b}$ belongs to the right hand side of Eq. (10), as required.

Case (I): Consider $x_{\ell}$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. By Lemma 3.9 there is $j$ such that $x^{v_{j}}=x_{\ell} x^{\alpha}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \cap C=\emptyset$. Thus since $x^{\alpha}$ is not in $P$ (because of the second condition) we obtain $x_{\ell} \in R[I t] \cap I R[I t]_{P}$.

Case (II): Consider $x^{v_{\ell}} t$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq p$. Since

$$
\left\langle\left(v_{\ell}, 1\right), e_{1}+\cdots+e_{m}-e_{n+1}\right\rangle \geq 1
$$

the monomial $x^{v_{\ell}}$ contains at least two variables in $C$. Thus we may assume that $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are in the support of $x^{v_{\ell}}$. Again by Lemma 3.9 there are $j, j_{1}$ such that $x^{v_{j}}=x_{1} x^{\alpha}, x^{v_{j_{1}}}=x_{2} x^{\gamma}$, and the support of $x^{\alpha}$ and $x^{\gamma}$ disjoint from $C$. Hence the monomial $x^{v_{\ell}} x^{\alpha+\gamma} t$ belongs to $I^{2} t$ and $x^{\alpha+\gamma}$ is not in $P$. Writing

$$
x^{v_{\ell}} t=\left(x^{v_{\ell}} x^{\alpha+\gamma} t\right) / x^{\alpha+\gamma},
$$

we get $x^{v_{\ell}} t \in R[I t] \cap I R[I t]_{P}$.
Lemma $3.11 \operatorname{rad}\left(J_{k}^{\left(d_{k}\right)}\right)=J_{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$.
Proof. By construction one has $\operatorname{rad}\left(J_{k}^{\left(d_{k}\right)}\right) \subset J_{k}$. The reverse inclusion follows by noticing that if $x^{a} t^{b} \in J_{k}$, then $\left(x^{a} t^{b}\right)^{d_{k}} \in J_{k}^{\left(d_{k}\right)}$.

Proposition 3.12 If $R[I t]$ is normal, then $I R[I t]=J_{1}^{\left(d_{1}\right)} \cap \cdots \cap J_{r}^{\left(d_{r}\right)}$.
Proof. " $\subset$ ": Let $x^{a} t^{b} \in I R[I t]$. Since $x^{a} \in I^{b+1}$, we obtain $(a, b+1) \in \mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. In particular we get $(a, b+1) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Therefore

$$
0 \leq\left\langle(a, b+1), \ell_{k}\right\rangle=\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle-d_{k}
$$

and consequently $x^{a} t^{b} \in J^{\left(d_{k}\right)}$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$.
" $\supset$ ": Let $x^{a} t^{b} \in J^{\left(d_{k}\right)}$ for all $k$. Since $(a, b+1) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, using that $R[I t]$ is normal yields $(a, b+1) \in \mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. It follows that $x^{a} t^{b} \in I^{b+1} t^{b} \subset I R[I t]$.

A similar formula is shown in [8]. The normality of $R[I t]$ can be described in terms of primary decompositions of $I R[I t]$, see [24, Proposition 2.1.3].

The following two nice formulas, pointed out to us by Vasconcelos, describe the difference between the symbolic Rees algebra of $I$ and the normalization of its Rees algebra. If $\mathfrak{q}_{k}=J_{k} \cap R$ for $k=1, \ldots, r$, then

$$
R_{s}(I)=\bigcap_{k=1}^{s} R[I t]_{\mathfrak{q}_{k}} \cap R[t] ; \quad \overline{R[I t]}=\bigcap_{k=1}^{r}{\overline{R[I t}]_{\mathfrak{q}_{k}} \cap R[t] . . . ~}
$$

These representations are linked to the so called Rees valuations of the ideal $I$, see [37, Chapter 8] for further details.

Proposition 3.13 The following conditions are equivalent
(a) $Q(A)$ is integral.
(b) $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}=H_{e_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{e_{n+1}}^{+} \cap H_{\ell_{1}}^{+} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{s}}^{+}$, i.e., $r=s$.
(c) $R_{s}(I)=\overline{R[I t]}$.
(d) The minimal primes of $I R[I t]$ are of the form $\mathfrak{p}_{k} R[I t]_{\mathfrak{p}_{k}} \cap R[I t]$.

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ : These implications follow from Theorems 3.1 and 3.4. The other implications follow readily using Proposition 3.6.

Definition 3.14 Let $x^{u_{k}}=\prod_{x_{i} \in C_{k}} x_{i}$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$. The ideal of vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$ is the ideal

$$
I_{c}(\mathcal{C})=\left(x^{u_{1}}, \ldots, x^{u_{s}}\right) \subset R
$$

The clutter of minimal vertex covers, denoted by $\mathcal{D}$ or $b(\mathcal{C})$, is the blocker of $\mathcal{C}$.
In the literature $I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$ is also called the Alexander dual of $I$ because if $\Delta$ is the Stanley-Reisner complex of $I$, then $I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the Alexander dual of $\Delta$. The survey article [20] explains the role of Alexander duality to prove combinatorial and algebraic theorems.

Example 3.15 Let $I=\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{3} x_{6}, x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}\right)$. The clutter of $I$ is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}_{6}$. Using Normaliz [7] and Proposition 3.13 we obtain:

$$
R[I t] \subsetneq R_{s}(I)=\overline{R[I t]}=R[I t]\left[x_{1} \cdots x_{6} t^{2}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad R\left[I_{c}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{6}\right) t\right]=\overline{R\left[I_{c}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{6}\right) t\right]} .
$$

Proposition 3.16 ([18]) If $\overline{R[I t]}=R_{s}(I)$ and $J=I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$, then $\overline{R[J t]}=R_{s}(J)$.
Corollary 3.17 [11, Theorem 1.17] If $Q(A)$ is integral and $A^{\prime}$ is the incidence matrix of the clutter of minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$, then $Q\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ is integral.

Proof. It follows at once from Propositions 3.13 and 3.16.

Definition 3.18 Let $X^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{r}}, x_{j_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{s}}\right\}$ be a subset of $X$. A minor of $I$ is a proper ideal $I^{\prime}$ of $R^{\prime}=K\left[X \backslash X^{\prime}\right]$ obtained from $I$ by making $x_{i_{k}}=0$ and $x_{j_{\ell}}=1$ for all $k, \ell$. The ideal $I$ is considered itself a minor. A minor of $\mathcal{C}$ is a clutter $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ that corresponds to a minor $(0) \subsetneq I^{\prime} \subsetneq R^{\prime}$.

Notice that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $I^{\prime}$ by considering the unique set of square-free monomials of $R^{\prime}$ that minimally generate $I^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.19 If $\overline{I^{i}}=I^{(i)}$ for some $i \geq 2$ and $J=I^{\prime}$ is a minor of $I$, then $\overline{J^{i}}=J^{(i)}$.

Proof. Assume that $J$ is the minor obtained from $I$ by making $x_{1}=0$. Take $x^{a} \in J^{(i)}$. Then $x^{a} \in I^{(i)}=\overline{I^{i}}$ because $J \subset I$. Thus $x^{a} \in \overline{I^{i}}$. Since $x_{1} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(x^{a}\right)$ it follows that $x^{a} \in \overline{J^{i}}$. This proves $J^{(i)} \subset \overline{J^{i}}$. The other inclusion is clear because $J^{(i)}$ is integrally closed.

Assume that $J$ is the minor obtained from $I$ by making $x_{1}=1$. Take $x^{a} \in J^{(i)}$. Notice that $x_{1}^{i} x^{a} \in I^{(i)}=\overline{I^{i}}$. Indeed if $x_{1} \in \mathfrak{p}_{k}$, then $x_{1}^{i} \in \mathfrak{p}_{k}^{i}$, and if $x_{1} \notin \mathfrak{p}_{k}$, then $J \subset \mathfrak{p}_{k}$ and $x^{a} \in \mathfrak{p}_{k}^{i}$. Since $x_{1} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(x^{a}\right)$ it follows that $x^{a} \in \overline{J^{i}}$.

Corollary 3.20 If $R_{s}(I)=\overline{R[I t]}$, then $R_{s}\left(I^{\prime}\right)=\overline{R^{\prime}\left[I^{\prime} t\right]}$ for any minor $I^{\prime}$ of $I$.
Proposition 3.21 Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the clutter of minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$. If $\overline{R[I t]}$ is equal to $R_{s}(I)$ and $|A \cap B| \leq 2$ for $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}$, then $R[I t]$ is normal.

Proof. Let $x^{a} t^{b}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} t^{b} \in \overline{R[I t]}$ be a minimal generator, that is $(a, b)$ cannot be written as a sum of two non-zero integral vectors in the Rees cone $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. We may assume $a_{i} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, a_{i}=0$ for $i>m$, and $b \geq 1$.

Case (I): $\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{i}\right\rangle>0$ for all $i$. The vector $\gamma=(a, b)-e_{1}$ satisfies $\left\langle\gamma, \ell_{i}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $i$, that is $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Thus since $(a, b)=e_{1}+\gamma$ we derive a contradiction.

Case (II): $\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{i}\right\rangle=0$ for some $i$. We may assume

$$
\left\{\ell_{i} \mid\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{i}\right\rangle=0\right\}=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{p}\right\} .
$$

Subcase (II.a): $e_{i} \in H_{\ell_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{p}}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$. It is not hard to verify that the vector $\gamma=(a, b)-e_{i}$ satisfies $\left\langle\gamma, \ell_{k}\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq s$. Thus $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, a contradiction because $(a, b)=e_{i}+\gamma$.

Subcase (II.b): $e_{i} \notin H_{\ell_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{p}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since the vector ( $a, b$ ) belongs to $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, it follows (see the proof of Theorem 4.1) that we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, b)=\lambda_{1}\left(v_{1}, 1\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{q}\left(v_{q}, 1\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \geq 0\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the choice of $x^{a} t^{b}$ we may assume $0<\lambda_{1}<1$. Set $\gamma=(a, b)-\left(v_{1}, 1\right)$ and notice that by Eq. (11) this vector has non-negative entries. We claim that $\gamma$ is
in the Rees cone. Since by hypothesis one has $0 \leq\left\langle\left(v_{1}, 1\right), \ell_{j}\right\rangle \leq 1$ for all $j$ we readily obtain

$$
\left\langle\gamma, \ell_{k}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(v_{1}, 1\right), \ell_{k}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { if } 1 \leq k \leq p \\
\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(v_{1}, 1\right), \ell_{k}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $(a, b)=\left(v_{1}, 1\right)+\gamma$. As a result $\gamma=0$ and $x^{a} t^{b} \in R[I t]$, as desired.

## 4 König property of clutters and normality

Let us introduce a little bit more notation and definitions. Recall that the Ehrhart ring of the lattice polytope $P=\operatorname{conv}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$ is the subring

$$
A(P)=K\left[\left\{x^{a} t^{i} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \cap i P ; i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right] \subset R[t]
$$

and the homogeneous monomial subring generated by $F t=\left\{x^{v_{1}} t, \ldots, x^{v_{q}} t\right\}$ over the field $K$ is the subring $K[F t] \subset R[t]$.

Theorem 4.1 If $\overline{R[I t]}=R_{s}(I)$ and $K[F t]=A(P)$, then $R[I t]$ is normal.
Proof. Let $x^{a} t^{b}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} t^{b} \in \overline{R[I t]}$ be a minimal generator, that is $x^{a} t^{b}$ cannot be written as a product of two non-constant monomials of $\overline{R[I t]}$. We may assume $a_{i} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, a_{i}=0$ for $i>m$, and $b \geq 1$.

Case (I): $\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{i}\right\rangle>0$ for all $i$. The vector $\gamma=(a, b)-e_{1}$ satisfies $\left\langle\underline{\left.\gamma, \ell_{i}\right\rangle} \geq 0\right.$ for all $i$, that is $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Thus since $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{a_{1}-1} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} t^{b}$ are in $\overline{R[I t]}$ we get a contradiction. In conclusion this case cannot occur.

Case (II): $\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{i}\right\rangle=0$ for some $i$. We may assume

$$
\left\{\ell_{i} \mid\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{i}\right\rangle=0\right\}=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{p}\right\}
$$

Subcase (II.a): $e_{i} \in H_{\ell_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{p}}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$. For simplicity of notation assume $i=1$. The vector $\gamma=(a, b)-e_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\left\langle\gamma, \ell_{k}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle-\left\langle e_{1}, \ell_{k}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { if } 1 \leq k \leq p \\
\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle-\left\langle e_{1}, \ell_{k}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Proceeding as in Case (I) we derive a contradiction.
Subcase (II.b): $e_{i} \notin H_{\ell_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{p}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. The vector $(a, b)$ belongs to the polyhedral cone

$$
C=H_{\ell_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{p}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}
$$

Hence we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b)= & \lambda_{1}\left(v_{1}, 1\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{q}\left(v_{q}, 1\right)+\mu_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+\mu_{n} e_{n} \quad\left(\lambda_{i} ; \mu_{j} \geq 0\right) \\
\left\langle(a, b), \ell_{k}\right\rangle= & \lambda_{1}\left\langle\left(v_{1}, 1\right), \ell_{k}\right\rangle+\cdots+\lambda_{q}\left\langle\left(v_{q}, 1\right), \ell_{k}\right\rangle+ \\
& \mu_{1}\left\langle e_{1}, \ell_{k}\right\rangle+\cdots+\mu_{n}\left\langle e_{n}, \ell_{k}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, p$. From the first equality we get $\mu_{i}=0$ for $i>m$ because $a_{i}=0$ for $i>m$. If $\mu_{i}>0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $\left\langle e_{i}, \ell_{k}\right\rangle=0$ for $1 \leq k \leq p$, a contradiction. Hence $\mu_{i}=0$ for all $i$. Therefore $a / b \in P$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \cap b P$. This proves $x^{a} t^{b} \in A(P)=K[F t] \subset R[I t]$, as desired.

Proposition 4.2 ([40]) If $\ell_{k}=d_{k} a_{k}$ has the form

$$
\ell_{k}=e_{i_{1}}+\cdots+e_{i_{k}}-d_{k} e_{n+1} \quad\left(1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n\right)
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, r$, then $A(P)\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]=\overline{R[I t]}$.
Proof. The Ehrhart ring is contained in $\overline{R[I t]}$. Thus the equality follows using the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 4.3 If $x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}$ have degree $d \geq 2$ and $\overline{I^{b}}=I^{(b)}$ for all $b$, then $\overline{I^{b}}$ is generated by monomials of degree bd for $b \geq 1$.

Proof. The monomial ideal $\overline{I^{b}}$ has a unique minimal set of generators consisting of monomials. Take $x^{a}$ in this minimal set. Notice that $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Thus we may proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to obtain that $(a, b)$ is in the cone generated by $\left\{\left(v_{1}, 1\right), \ldots,\left(v_{q}, 1\right)\right\}$. This yields $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{a}\right)=b d$.

Proposition 4.4 If $x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}$ have degree $d \geq 2$, then $I^{i}=I^{(i)}$ for all $i \geq 1$ if and only if $Q(A)$ is integral and $K[F t]=A(P)$.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ ) By Proposition 3.13 the polyhedron $Q(A)$ is integral. Since $I^{(i)}$ is integrally closed [39, Corollary 7.3.15], we get that $R[I t]$ is normal. Therefore applying [14, Theorem 3.15] we obtain $K[F t]=A(P)$, here the hypothesis on the degrees of $x^{v_{i}}$ is essential.
$\Leftarrow)$ By Proposition $3.13 \overline{I^{i}}=I^{(i)}$ for all $i$, thus applying Theorem 4.1 gives $R[I t]$ normal and we get the required equality. Here the hypothesis on the degrees of $x^{v_{i}}$ is not needed.

Definition 4.5 The clutter $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the max-flow min-cut (MFMC) property if both sides of the LP-duality equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{\langle\alpha, x\rangle \mid x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}\}=\max \{\langle y, \mathbf{1}\rangle \mid y \geq 0 ; A y \leq \alpha\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

have integral optimum solutions $x$ and $y$ for each non-negative integral vector $\alpha$.

It follows from [30, pp. 311-312] that $\mathcal{C}$ has the MFMC property if and only if the maximum in Eq. (12) has an optimal integral solution $y$ for each non-negative integral vector $\alpha$. Thus the system $x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}$ is TDI if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ has the max-flow min-cut property.

A ring is called reduced if 0 is its only nilpotent element. For convenience let us state some known characterizations of the reducedness of the associated graded ring.

Theorem 4.6 ([15, 19, 25]) The following conditions are equivalent
(i) $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced.
(ii) $R[I t]$ is normal and $Q(A)$ is an integral polyhedron.
(iii) $I$ is normally torsion free, that is, $I^{i}=I^{(i)}$ for all $i \geq 1$.
(iv) $x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}$ is a TDI system.
(iv) $\mathcal{C}$ has the max-flow min-cut property.

Corollary 4.7 [12, Theorem 1.3] Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the clutter of minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$. If $Q(A)$ is integral and $|A \cap B| \leq 2$ for $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}$, then $x \geq 0 ; x A \geq \mathbf{1}$ is a TDI system.

Proof. By Proposition 3.21 the Rees algebra $R[I t]$ is normal. To complete the proof apply Theorem 4.6.

Lemma 4.8 If $I$ is a monomial ideal of $R$, then the nilradical of the associated graded ring of $I$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{nil}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)\right)=\left(\left\{\overline{x^{\alpha}} \in I^{i} / I^{i+1} \mid x^{s \alpha} \in I^{s i+1} ; i \geq 0 ; s \geq 1\right\}\right)
$$

Proof. The nilradical of $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is graded with respect to the fine grading, and thus it is generated by homogeneous elements.

Definition 4.9 The matrix $A$ is balanced if $A$ has no square submatrix of odd order with exactly two 1 's in each row and column. $A$ is totally unimodular if each $i \times i$ minor of $A$ is 0 or $\pm 1$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.10 If $A$ is balanced, then $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced.
Proof. Let $\overline{x^{\alpha}} \in I^{i} / I^{i+1}$ be in $\operatorname{nil}\left(\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)\right)$, that is $x^{s \alpha} \in I^{i s+1}$ for some $0 \neq s \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.8 we need only show $\overline{x^{\alpha}}=\overline{0}$. It follows rapidly that the maximum in Eq. (1) is greater or equal than $i+1 / s$. By [30, Theorem 21.8, p. 305] the maximum in Eq. (1) has an integral optimum solution $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right)$. Thus $y_{1}+\cdots+y_{q} \geq i+1$. Since $y$ satisfies $y \geq 0$ and $A y \leq \alpha$ we obtain $x^{\alpha} \in I^{i+1}$. This proves $\overline{x^{\alpha}}=\overline{0}$, as required.

Proposition 4.11 If $A$ is balanced and $J=I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$, then $R[J t]=R_{s}(J)$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the blocker of $\mathcal{C}$. By [31, Corollary $83.1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{v})$, p. 1441], we get that $\mathcal{D}$ satisfy the max-flow min-cut property. Thus the equality follows at once from Theorem 4.6.

Proposition 4.12 $\operatorname{If} \operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced (resp. $R[I t]$ is normal) and $I^{\prime}$ is a minor of $I$, then $\operatorname{gr}_{I^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced (resp. $R^{\prime}\left[I^{\prime} t\right]$ is normal).

Proof. Notice that we need only show the result when $I^{\prime}$ is a minor obtained from $I$ by making $x_{1}=0$ or $x_{1}=1$. Using Lemma 4.8 both cases are quite easy to prove.

Definition 4.13 A clutter $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the packing property (PP) if all its minors satisfy the König property, that is, $\alpha_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ for any minor $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 4.14 If the ring $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced, then $\alpha_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ for any minor $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be any minor of $\mathcal{C}$ and let $I^{\prime}$ be its clutter ideal. We denote the incidence matrix of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ by $A^{\prime}$. By Proposition 4.12 the associated graded ring $\operatorname{gr}_{I^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced. Hence by Theorem 4.6 the clutter $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has the max-flow min-cut property. In particular the LP-duality equation

$$
\min \left\{\langle\mathbf{1}, x\rangle \mid x \geq 0 ; x A^{\prime} \geq \mathbf{1}\right\}=\max \left\{\langle y, \mathbf{1}\rangle \mid y \geq 0 ; A^{\prime} y \leq \mathbf{1}\right\}
$$

has optimum integral solutions $x, y$. To complete the proof notice that the left hand side of this equality is $\alpha_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ and the right hand side is $\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark 4.15 If $I$ is the facet ideal of a simplicial tree, then $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced. This follows from [16, p. 174] using the proof of [32, Corollary 3.2, p. 399]. In particular $\mathcal{C}$ has the König property, this was shown in [17, Theorem 5.3].

Corollary 4.16 If $\mathcal{C}$ has the max-flow min-cut property, then $\mathcal{C}$ has the packing property.

Proof. It follows at once from Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.14.
Conforti and Cornuéjols conjecture that the converse is also true:
Conjecture 4.17 [11, Conjecture 1.6] If the clutter $\mathcal{C}$ has the packing property, then $\mathcal{C}$ has the max-flow min-cut property.

Next we state the converse of Corollary 4.14 as an algebraic version of this interesting conjecture which to our best knowledge is still open:

Conjecture 4.18 If $\alpha_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ for all minors $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, then the $\operatorname{ring} \operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced.

It is known [11, Theorem 1.8] that clutters with the packing property have integral set covering polyhedrons. As a consequence, using Theorem 4.6, this conjecture reduces to the following:

Conjecture 4.19 If $\alpha_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ for all minors $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, then $R[I t]$ is normal.
In this paper we will give some support for this conjecture using an algebraic approach.

Proposition 4.20 Let $J_{i}$ be the ideal obtained from I by making $x_{i}=1$. If $Q(A)$ is an integral polyhedron, then the ideal $I$ is normal if and only if $J_{i}$ is normal for all $i$ and $\operatorname{depth}\left(R / I^{k}\right) \geq 1$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ ) The normality of an ideal is closed under minors [15, Proposition 4.3], hence $J_{i}$ is normal for all $i$. Using Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.7 we get that $\operatorname{depth}\left(R / I^{i}\right) \geq 1$ for all $i$.
$\Leftrightarrow)$ It follows readily by adapting the arguments given in the proof of the normality criterion [15, Theorem 4.4].

By Proposition 4.20 we obtain that Conjecture 4.18 also reduces to:
Conjecture 4.21 If $\alpha_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ for any minor $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, then

$$
\operatorname{depth}\left(R / I^{i}\right) \geq 1 \text { for all } i \geq 1
$$

Notation For an integral matrix $B \neq(0)$, the greatest common divisor of all the nonzero $r \times r$ subdeterminants of $B$ will be denoted by $\Delta_{r}(B)$.

Corollary 4.22 If $x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}$ are monomials of degree $d \geq 2$ such that $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced and the matrix

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{1} & \cdots & v_{q} \\
1 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

has rank $r$, then $\Delta_{r}(B)=1$ and $B$ diagonalizes over $\mathbb{Z}$ to an identity matrix.
Proof. By Proposition 4.4 we obtain $A(P)=K[F t]$. Hence a direct application of [14, Theorem 3.9] gives $\Delta_{r}(B)=1$.

This result suggest the following weaker conjecture of Villarreal:
Conjecture 4.23 If $\alpha_{0}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)$ for all minors $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and $x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}$ have degree $d \geq 2$, then $\Delta_{r}(B)=1$, where $r=\operatorname{rank}(B)$.

Let $G$ be a matroid on $X$ of rank $d$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the collection of bases of $G$. The set of all square-free monomials $x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{d}} \in R$ such that $\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{d}}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}$ will be denoted by $F_{G}$ and the subsemigroup (of the multiplicative semigroup of monomials of $R$ ) generated by $F_{G}$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{M}_{G}$. The basis monomial ring of $G$ is the monomial subring $K\left[F_{G}\right]=K\left[\mathbb{M}_{G}\right]$. The ideal $I(\mathcal{B})=\left(F_{G}\right)$ is called the basis monomial ideal of $G$. An open problem in the area is whether the toric ideal of $K\left[F_{G}\right]$ is generated by quadrics, see [43, Conjecture 12]. This has been shown for graphic matroids [2].

The next result implies the normality of the basis monomial ring of $G$.
Proposition 4.24 ([42]) If $x^{a}$ is a monomial of degree $\ell d$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(x^{a}\right)^{p} \in \mathbb{M}_{G}$ for some $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x^{a} \in \mathbb{M}_{G}$.

Proposition 4.25 If $I=I(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the packing property, then $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced.

Proof. First we show the equality $A(P)=K\left[F_{G} t\right]$. It suffices to prove the inclusion $A(P) \subset K\left[F_{G} t\right]$. Take $x^{a} t^{b} \in A(P)$, i.e., $x^{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \cap b P$. Hence $x^{a}$ has degree $b d$ and $\left(x^{a}\right)^{p} \in \mathbb{M}_{G}$ for some positive integer $p$. By Proposition 4.24 we get $x^{a} \in \mathbb{M}_{G}$. It is seen that $x^{a} t^{b}$ is in $K\left[F_{G} t\right]$. Since $Q(A)$ is integral [11], using Theorem 4.1 we get that $R[I t]$ is normal. Thus both conditions yield that $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced according to Theorem 4.6.

This proof can be simplified using that the basis monomial ideal of a matroid is normal [40].

Corollary 4.26 Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ be a family of disjoint sets of variables and let $M$ be the transversal matroid whose collection of basis is

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\} \mid y_{i} \in X_{i} \forall i\right\} .
$$

If $I=I(\mathcal{C})$, then $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced.
The combinatorial equivalencies in the next result are well known [11, 12]. Our contribution here is to link the reducedness of the associated graded ring with the integrality of $Q(A)$.

Proposition 4.27 If $\mathcal{C}$ is a simple graph, then the following are equivalent:
(a) $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced.
(b) $\mathcal{C}$ is bipartite.
(c) $Q(A)$ is integral.
(d) $\mathcal{C}$ has the packing property.

Proof. By [32, Theorem 5.9] (a) and (b) are equivalent. Applying Theorem 4.6 and Proposition 3.21 we obtain that (a) is equivalent to (c). By Corollary 4.14 condition (a) implies (d). Finally using [11, Theorem 1.8] we obtain that (d) implies (c).

Corollary $4.28([18])$ If $\mathcal{C}$ is a bipartite graph and $J=I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$, then $\operatorname{gr}_{J}(R)$ is reduced.

Proof. The matrix $A$ is totally unimodular [30, p. 273], hence $Q(A)$ is integral. By Proposition 3.16 we get $\overline{R[J t]}=R_{s}(J)$. On the other hand $R[J t]$ is normal by Proposition 3.21. Thus by Theorem 4.6 the ring $\operatorname{gr}_{J}(R)$ is reduced.

Proposition 4.29 If $\mathcal{C}$ has the packing property and $I=I(\mathcal{C})$, then $I^{2}=\overline{I^{2}}$.
Proof. By induction on $n$. Assume $\overline{I^{2}} \neq I^{2}$ and consider $M=\overline{I^{2}} / I^{2}$. If $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a prime ideal of $R$, then by induction $M_{\mathfrak{p}}=(0)$. Thus $\mathfrak{m}$ is the only associated prime of $M$ and there is an embedding $R / \mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow M$, $\overline{1} \mapsto \overline{x^{a}}$, where $\overline{x^{a}} \in \overline{I^{2}} \backslash I^{2}$ and $x_{i} x^{a} \in I^{2}$ for all $i$. Notice that by induction all the entries $a_{i}$ of $a$ are positive. We consider two cases. Assume $a_{i} \geq 2$ for some $i$, say $i=1$. Given a monomial $x^{\alpha}$, the monomial obtained from $x^{\alpha}$ by making $x_{1}=1$ is denoted by $x^{\alpha^{\prime}}$. Then making $x_{1}=1$ and using that $x_{1} x^{a} \in I^{2}$ gives $x^{a^{\prime}}=x^{v_{1}^{\prime}} x^{v_{2}^{\prime}} x^{\delta}$, hence $x^{a}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} x^{a^{\prime}}=x^{v_{1}} x^{v_{2}} x^{\gamma} \in I^{2}$, a contradiction. On the other hand if $a_{i}=1$ for all $i$, then $x^{a}=x_{1} \cdots x_{n} \in I^{g} \subset I^{2}$, where $g=\operatorname{ht}(I)$, a contradiction. Therefore $I^{2}=\overline{I^{2}}$.

Recall that $I$ is said to be unmixed if all the minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$ have the same cardinality.

Lemma 4.30 If I is an unmixed ideal and $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the König property, then $x^{\mathbf{1}}=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$ belongs to the subring $K\left[x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{q}}\right]$.

Proof. We may assume $x^{\mathbf{1}}=x^{v_{1}} \cdots x^{v_{g}} x^{\delta}$, where $g$ is the height of $I$. If $\delta \neq 0$, pick $x_{n} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(x^{\delta}\right)$. Since the variable $x_{n}$ occurs in some monomial of $I$, there is a minimal prime $\mathfrak{p}$ containing $x_{n}$. Thus using that $x^{v_{1}}, \ldots, x^{v_{g}}$ have disjoint supports we conclude that $\mathfrak{p}$ contains at least $g+1$ variables, a contradiction.

Proposition 4.31 Let $I_{i}=I \cap K\left[X \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right]$. If $I$ is an unmixed ideal such that the following conditions hold
$\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) Q(A)$ is integral,
( $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ) $I_{i}$ is normal for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and
$\left(\mathrm{a}_{3}\right) \mathcal{C}$ has the König property,
then $R[I t]$ is normal.

Proof. Take $x^{a} t^{b}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} t^{b} \in \overline{R[I t]}$ a minimal generator. By the second condition we may assume $a_{i} \geq 1$ for all $i$. Set $g=\operatorname{ht}(I)$. Notice that $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t^{g}$ is in $\overline{R[I t]}$ because $Q(A)$ is integral, this follows from Corollary 3.3 and Eq. (8). We claim that $b \leq g$. If $b>g$, consider the decomposition

$$
x^{a} t^{b}=\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t^{g}\right)\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-1} t^{b-g}\right) .
$$

To derive a contradiction consider the irreducible representation of the Rees cone $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ given in Eq. (5). Observe that

$$
\sum_{x_{i} \in C_{k}} a_{i} \geq b \quad(k=1, \ldots, s)
$$

because $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Now since $I$ is unmixed we get

$$
\sum_{x_{i} \in C_{k}}\left(a_{i}-1\right) \geq b-g \quad(k=1, \ldots, s)
$$

and consequently $x_{1}^{a_{1}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-1} t^{b-g} \in \overline{R[I t]}$, a contradiction to the choice of $x^{a} t^{b}$. Thus $b \leq g$. Using the third condition we get $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} \in I^{g} \subset I^{b}$, which readily implies $x^{a} t^{b} \in R[I t]$.

According to Corollary 5.9 condition $\left(\mathrm{a}_{3}\right)$ is redundant when $I$ is generated by monomials of the same degree.

Proposition 4.32 Let $Y \subset X$ and let $I_{Y}=I \cap K[Y]$. If $I_{Y}$ has the König property for all $Y$ and $\overline{R[I t]}$ is generated as a K-algebra by monomials of the form $x^{a} t^{b}$, with $x^{a}$ square-free, then $R[I t]$ is normal.

Proof. Take $x^{a} t^{b}$ a generator of $\overline{R[I t]}$, with $x^{a}$ square-free. By induction we may assume $x^{a} t^{b}=x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t^{b}$. Hence, since $(1, \ldots, 1, b)$ is in $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, we get that $\left|C_{k}\right| \geq b$ for $k=1, \ldots, s$. In particular $g=\operatorname{ht}(I) \geq b$. As $I$ has the König property, we get $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} \in I^{g}$ and consequently $x^{a} t^{b} \in R[I t]$.

Proposition 4.33 Let $I_{i}=I \cap K\left[X \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right]$. If $I_{i}$ is normal for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=H_{\ell_{1}} \cap H_{\ell_{2}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{r}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \neq(0) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $R[I t]$ is normal.
Proof. Let $x^{a} t^{b}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} t^{b} \in \overline{R[I t]}$ be a minimal generator, that is $(a, b)$, cannot be written as a sum of two non-zero integral vectors in $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. It suffices to prove that $0 \leq b \leq 1$ because this readily implies that $x^{a}$ is either a variable or a monomial in $F$. Assume $b \geq 2$. Since $I_{i}$ is normal we may assume that $a_{i} \geq 1$ for all $i$. As each variable occurs in at least one monomial of $F$, using that $C$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ together with Eq. (13), it follows that there is $\left(v_{k}, 1\right)$ such that $\left\langle\left(v_{k}, 1\right), \ell_{i}\right\rangle=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Therefore

$$
\left\langle\left(a-v_{k}, b-1\right), \ell_{i}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, r)
$$

Thus $(a, b)-\left(v_{k}, 1\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, a contradiction to the choice of $x^{a} t^{b}$.

## 5 Some applications to Rees algebras and clutters

Throughout this section we assume $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right)=d \geq 2$ for all $i$. By assigning $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(t)=-(d-1)$, the Rees algebra $R[I t]$ becomes a standard graded $K$-algebra, i.e., it is generated by elements of degree 1 . The $a$-invariant of $R[I t]$, with respect to this grading, is denoted by $a(R[I t])$. If $R[I t]$ is a normal domain, then according to a formula of Danilov-Stanley [6, Theorem 6.3.5] its canonical module is the ideal of $R[I t]$ given by

$$
\omega_{R[I t]}=\left(\left\{x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} t^{a_{n+1}} \mid a=\left(a_{i}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{o}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\right\}\right)
$$

where $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{o}}$ is the topological interior of the Rees cone.
Theorem 5.1 If $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced, then

$$
a(R[I t]) \geq-\left[n-(d-1)\left(\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})-1\right)\right]
$$

with equality if $I$ is unmixed.
Proof. It is well known (see [6]) that the $a$-invariant can be expressed as

$$
a(R[I t])=-\min \left\{i \mid\left(\omega_{R[I t]}\right)_{i} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Set $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})$. Using Eq. (5) it is seen that the vector $\left(1, \ldots, 1, \alpha_{0}-1\right)$ is in the interior of the Rees cone. Thus the inequality follows by computing the degree of $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t^{\alpha_{0}-1}$.

Assume that $I$ is unmixed. Take an arbitrary monomial $x^{a} t^{b}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} t^{b}$ in the ideal $\omega_{R[I t]}$, that is, $(a, b) \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{o}}$. By Proposition 3.13 the vector $(a, b)$ has positive entries and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-b+\sum_{x_{i} \in C_{k}} a_{i} \geq 1 \quad(k=1, \ldots, s) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha_{0} \geq b+1$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{a} t^{b}\right)=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}-b(d-1) \geq n-(d-1)\left(\alpha_{0}-1\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume $\alpha_{0} \leq b$. Using the normality of $R[I t]$ and Eqs. (5) and (14) it follows that the monomial

$$
m=x_{1}^{a_{1}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-1} t^{b-\alpha_{0}+1}
$$

belongs to $R[I t]$. Since $x^{a} t^{b}=m x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t^{\alpha_{0}-1}$, the inequality (15) also holds in this case. Altogether we conclude the desired equality.

Corollary 5.2 ([18]) If $I$ is unmixed with $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})=2$ and $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced, then $R[I t]$ is a Gorenstein ring and

$$
a(R[I t])=-(n-d+1) .
$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 5.1 it follows that $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t$ generates the canonical module.

Notice that if $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C}) \geq 3$, then $R[I t]$ is not Gorenstein because the monomials $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t^{\alpha_{0}-1}$ and $x_{1} \cdots x_{n} t$ are distinct minimal generators of $\omega_{R[I t]}$. This holds in a more general setting (see Proposition 5.5 below).

Corollary 5.3 Let $J=I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$ be the ideal of vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a bipartite graph and $I=I(\mathcal{C})$ is unmixed, then $R[J t]$ is a Gorenstein ring and

$$
a(R[J t])=-\left(n-\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})+1\right)
$$

Proof. Notice that $R[J t]$ has the grading induced by assigning $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(t)=1-\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})$. Thus the formula follows from Corollary 5.2 once we recall that $\operatorname{gr}_{J}(R)$ is a reduced ring according to Corollary 4.28.

Lemma 5.4 ([5], [35, p. 142]) If $S$ is a regular local ring and $J$ is an ideal of $S$ generated by a regular sequence $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{g}$, then $S[J t]$ is determinantal:

$$
S[J t] \simeq S\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{g}\right] / I_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
z_{1} & \cdots & z_{g} \\
h_{1} & \cdots & h_{g}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and its canonical module is $\omega_{S}(1, t)^{g-2}$.

Proposition 5.5 If $I$ has height $g \geq 2$ and $S=R[I t]$ is Gorenstein, then $g=2$.
Proof. Since $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a complete intersection for all associated prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $I$ and $S$ is Gorenstein one has $\omega_{S} \simeq \omega_{R}(1, t)^{g-2}$ [23]. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \simeq \omega_{S} \simeq \omega_{R}(1, t)^{g-2}=R \oplus R t \oplus \cdots \oplus R t^{g-2} \oplus I t^{g-1} \oplus \cdots \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take a minimal prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $I$ of height $g$. Then $S_{\mathfrak{p}}=R_{\mathfrak{p}}\left[I_{\mathfrak{p}} t\right]$ is the Rees algebra of the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is generated by a regular sequence. Thus localizing the extremes of Eq. (16) at $\mathfrak{p}$ and using Lemma 5.4 we obtain

$$
S_{\mathfrak{p}}=R_{\mathfrak{p}}\left[I_{\mathfrak{p}} t\right] \simeq \omega_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(1, t)^{g-2} \simeq \omega_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}
$$

Note that it is important to know a priori that the canonical module of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(1, t)^{g-2}$. Hence $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein. To finish the proof note that the only Gorenstein determinantal rings that occur in Lemma 5.4 are those with $g=2$. Here the hypothesis on the degrees of $x^{v_{i}}$ is not needed.

Lemma 5.6 If $\overline{R[I t]}=R_{s}(I)$, then there is a minimal vertex cover $C_{k}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right) \cap C_{k}\right|=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, q$.

Proof. We claim that $J_{k}=\mathfrak{p}_{k} R[I t]$ for some $1 \leq k \leq s$. If not, using Eq. (10), we can pick $x^{v_{k}} t \in J_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, s$. Then by Proposition 3.6 the product of these monomials is in the radical of $I R[I t]$. Therefore

$$
\left[\left(x^{v_{1}} t\right) \cdots\left(x^{v_{s}} t\right)\right]^{p} \in I R[I t]
$$

for some $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\left(x^{v_{1}} \cdots x^{v_{s}}\right)^{p} \in I^{s p+1}$. By degree considerations, using that $\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right)=d$ for all $i$, one readily derives a contradiction. This proves the claim. Hence $\left\langle\left(v_{i}, 1\right), \ell_{k}\right\rangle=0$ for all $i$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}$ lie on the hyperplane

$$
\sum_{x_{i} \in C_{k}} x_{i}=1
$$

Therefore $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right) \cap C_{k}\right|=1$ for all $i$, as desired.
Proposition 5.7 If $\overline{R[I t]}=R_{s}(I)$ and $I$ is unmixed, then

$$
H_{\ell_{1}} \cap H_{\ell_{2}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\ell_{r}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \neq(0)
$$

Proof. Let $J=I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$ be the Alexander dual of $I$. Using Proposition 3.16 one has $\overline{R[J t]}=R_{s}(J)$. Thus by Lemma 5.6 there is $v_{k}$ such that $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{k}}\right) \cap C_{i}\right|=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. This means that $\left(v_{k}, 1\right)$ is in the intersection of $H_{\ell_{1}}, \ldots, H_{\ell_{r}}$.

Proposition 5.8 If $\overline{R[I t]}=R_{s}(I)$, then there are $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d}$ mutually disjoint minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right)=\cup_{i=1}^{d} C_{i}$ and

$$
\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right) \cap C_{k}\right|=1 \quad \forall i, k
$$

Proof. By induction on $d$. By Lemma 5.6 there is a minimal vertex cover $C_{1}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(x^{v_{i}}\right) \cap C_{1}\right|=1$ for all $i$. Consider the ideal $I^{\prime}$ obtained from $I$ by making $x_{i}=1$ for all $x_{i} \in C_{1}$. Then $I^{\prime}$ is an ideal generated by monomials of degree $d-1$ and $\overline{R\left[I^{\prime} t\right]}=R_{s}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ by Corollary 3.20. Thus we can apply induction to get the required assertion.

Corollary 5.9 If $I$ is unmixed and $\overline{R[I t]}=R_{s}(I)$, then both $\mathcal{C}$ and the clutter $\mathcal{D}$ of minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{C}$ have the König property.

Proof. That $\mathcal{D}$ has the König property follows from Proposition 5.8, because $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{D})=d$ and $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d}$ are independent edges of $\mathcal{D}$. Now $I_{c}(\mathcal{C})$ is unmixed, is generated by monomials of degree $\alpha_{0}(\mathcal{C})$, and according to Proposition 3.16 one has $\overline{R\left[I_{c}(\mathcal{C})\right]}=R_{s}\left(I_{c}(\mathcal{C})\right)$. Thus, using again Proposition 5.8, we conclude that $\mathcal{C}$ has the König property.

Combining Corollary 5.9 with Proposition 4.31 we obtain:
Theorem 5.10 Let $I_{i}=I \cap K\left[X \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right]$. If $I$ is unmixed and $Q(A)$ is integral, then $\operatorname{gr}_{I}(R)$ is reduced if and only if $I_{i}$ is normal for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
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