A Dichotomy in *p*-adic Dynamics: Measure-preservation of 1- Lipschitz functions vs Bernoullicity of expansive functions

Sangtae Jeong

Department of Mathematics Inha University stj@inha.ac.kr

Sixth International Conference on *p*-adic Mathematical Physics and its Applications CINVESTAV, Mexico City, October 23rd-27th 2017

2017. 10. 24

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ○ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Outline



2 Non-Archimedean dynamical systems on two local rings: Z_p vs 𝔽_q[[𝒯]]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Two bases of Mahler and van der Put
- 4 Conjecture A and known results
- 5 Lemmas for Main Theorem and its proof
- 6 Bernoullicity of p^{α} -Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_{p}
- 7 Root existence of 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p

• We introduce basics of dynamics on non-Archimedean local rings $(\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ or } \mathbb{F}_q[[\mathcal{T}]])$. Non-Archimedean dynamical systems are classified as a dichotomy between 1-Lipschitz functions and expansive functions.

• We formulate a conjecture for the measure-preservation of a 1-Lipschitz function on \mathbb{Z}_p in Mahler's expansion.

• In this talk, we provide evidence for this conjecture by verifying that it holds for a wider class of 1-Lipschitz functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo p on \mathbb{Z}_p of $N_1(f) = 1$.

• We introduce basics of dynamics on non-Archimedean local rings $(\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ or } \mathbb{F}_q[[\mathcal{T}]])$. Non-Archimedean dynamical systems are classified as a dichotomy between 1-Lipschitz functions and expansive functions.

• We formulate a conjecture for the measure-preservation of a 1-Lipschitz function on \mathbb{Z}_p in Mahler's expansion.

• In this talk, we provide evidence for this conjecture by verifying that it holds for a wider class of 1-Lipschitz functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo p on \mathbb{Z}_p of $N_1(f) = 1$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ =

• We introduce basics of dynamics on non-Archimedean local rings $(\mathbb{Z}_p \text{ or } \mathbb{F}_q[[\mathcal{T}]])$. Non-Archimedean dynamical systems are classified as a dichotomy between 1-Lipschitz functions and expansive functions.

• We formulate a conjecture for the measure-preservation of a 1-Lipschitz function on \mathbb{Z}_p in Mahler's expansion.

• In this talk, we provide evidence for this conjecture by verifying that it holds for a wider class of 1-Lipschitz functions that are uniformly differentiable modulo p on \mathbb{Z}_p of $N_1(f) = 1$.

• Also we formulate a conjecture for a Bernoullicity of expansive maps on \mathbb{Z}_p in Mahler's expansion and then verify that this conjecture holds for a wider class of expansive maps satisfying certain assumptions.

• If time permits, we will use the results of Yurova and Khrennikov to provide a generalized Hensel's lifting lemma for 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p in terms of Mahler's coefficients.

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注

• Also we formulate a conjecture for a Bernoullicity of expansive maps on \mathbb{Z}_p in Mahler's expansion and then verify that this conjecture holds for a wider class of expansive maps satisfying certain assumptions.

• If time permits, we will use the results of Yurova and Khrennikov to provide a generalized Hensel's lifting lemma for 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p in terms of Mahler's coefficients.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

What are non-Archimedean dynamical systems?

• Non-Archimedean dynamical system is made up of a triple (R, f, μ) where

-R: a compact discrete valuation domain with a uniformizer π ;

i) \mathbb{Z}_p is the ring of *p*-adic integers.

ii) $\mathbb{F}_q[[T]]$ is the ring of power series in one variable T over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q .

- -f: a measurable(continuous) function $f : R \to R$.
- $-\mu$: a normalized measure on R so that $\mu(R) = 1$.
- Recall that the measure of a ball $a + \pi^n R$ is defined as its radius; $\mu(a + \pi^n R) = 1/r^n$, $r = \#R/(\pi)$, where r is given by

$$r = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } R = \mathbb{Z}_p; \\ q & \text{if } R = \mathbb{F}_q[[T]]. \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Dichotomy: 1-Lipschitz functions vs expansive functions

Fix a nonnegative integer α .

• **[Definition]** r^{α} -Lipschitz functions on R:

We say that $f : R \to R$ is r^{α} -Lipschitz if one of the equivalent statements is satisfied:

(1) $|f(x) - f(y)|_{\pi} \le r^{\alpha} \cdot |x - y|_{\pi}$ for all $x, y \in R$. (2) $f(x) \equiv f(y) \pmod{\pi^n}$ whenever $x \equiv y \pmod{\pi^{n+\alpha}}$ for any integer $n \ge 1$. (2) $f(x) = e^{n+\alpha} R = f(x) + e^{nR} R$ for all $x \in R$ and any integer

(3) $f(x + \pi^{n+\alpha}R) \subset f(x) + \pi^n R$ for all $x \in R$ and any integer $n \ge 1$.

(4) $|\Phi_1(f) := \frac{1}{x}(f(x+y) - f(y))|_{\pi} \le r^{\alpha}$ for all $x \ne R$ and all $y \in R$.

(5) $||\Phi_1(f)||_{\sup} \leq r^{\alpha}$ for all $x \neq 0 \in R$.

Then, every r^{α} -Lipschitz function induces reduced functions, for all integers $n \ge 1$

$$f_{/n}: R/\pi^{n+\alpha}R \to R/\pi^n R,$$

$$x + \pi^{n+\alpha}R \mapsto f(x) + \pi^n R.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへぐ

Dichotomy: 1-Lipschitz vs expansive functions

[Definition] • $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is said to be a 1 -Lipschitz function if $\alpha = 0, p^{\alpha}$ -expansive/Lipschitz if $\alpha > 0$.

- Examples of 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p .
- 1. $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$.

2. $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) :=$ the set of locally analytic functions of order 1 from \mathbb{Z}_p to itself.

3. $Udm(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{(1)} :=$ the set of 1-Lipschitz, uniformly differentiable modulo p functions on \mathbb{Z}_p of $N_1(f) = 1$.

- Examples of p^{α} -expansive functions on \mathbb{Z}_p .
- 1. $\binom{x}{p}$ is a $p^{\lfloor \log_p(n) \rfloor}$ -expansive function.
- 2. Fermat quotient map on \mathbb{Z}_p defined by $F(x) = \frac{x^p x}{p}$ is a *p*-expansive function.
- 3. The generalised Collatz map $\phi_{p,q}(x)$ is p-expansive, where

$$\phi_{p,q}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{p} & \text{if } p \mid x\\ \frac{qx - \varepsilon_0(qx)}{p} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Dichotomy: 1-Lipschitz vs expansive functions

[Definition] • $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is said to be a 1 -Lipschitz function if $\alpha = 0, p^{\alpha}$ -expansive/Lipschitz if $\alpha > 0$.

- Examples of 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p .
- 1. $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$.

2. $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) :=$ the set of locally analytic functions of order 1 from \mathbb{Z}_p to itself.

3. $Udm(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{(1)} :=$ the set of 1-Lipschitz, uniformly differentiable modulo p functions on \mathbb{Z}_p of $N_1(f) = 1$.

- Examples of p^{α} -expansive functions on \mathbb{Z}_p .
- 1. $\binom{x}{n}$ is a $p^{\lfloor \log_p(n) \rfloor}$ -expansive function.

2. Fermat quotient map on \mathbb{Z}_p defined by $F(x) = \frac{x^{p-x}}{p}$ is a *p*-expansive function.

3. The generalised Collatz map $\phi_{p,q}(x)$ is *p*-expansive, where

$$\phi_{p,q}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{p} & \text{if } p \mid x \\ \frac{qx - \varepsilon_0(qx)}{p} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Basic Facts in π -adic dynamical systems

For two cases $(R, \pi, |?|_{\pi}) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, p, |?|_p)$ or $(\mathbb{F}_q[[T]], T, |?|_T)$ [**Definition**] (1) A function $f : R \to R$ is measure-preserving if $\mu(f^{-1}(M)) = \mu(M)$ for each measurable subset $M \subset R$, especially, $M = a + \pi^n R (n \ge 0)$.

(2) A measure-preserving function $f : R \to R$ is called ergodic if it has no proper invariant subsets, i.e., if, for an invariant measurable subset $M \subset R$, i.e., $f^{-1}(M) = M$, either $\mu(M) = 1$ or $\mu(M) = 0$ holds.

Proposition 1 Let $f : R \to R$ be a 1-Lipschitz function. Then $f : R \to R$ is measure-preserving. \Leftrightarrow its reduced functions $f_{/n} : R/\pi^n R \to R/\pi^n R$ are bijective for all integers $n \ge 1$. $\Leftrightarrow f$ is an isometry; $|f(x) - f(y)|_{\pi} = |x - y|_{\pi}$ for all $x, y \in R$. $\Leftrightarrow f$ is onto.

Basic Facts in π -adic dynamical systems

For two cases $(R, \pi, |?|_{\pi}) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, p, |?|_p)$ or $(\mathbb{F}_q[[T]], T, |?|_T)$ [**Definition**] (1) A function $f : R \to R$ is measure-preserving if $\mu(f^{-1}(M)) = \mu(M)$ for each measurable subset $M \subset R$, especially, $M = a + \pi^n R (n \ge 0)$.

(2) A measure-preserving function $f : R \to R$ is called ergodic if it has no proper invariant subsets, i.e., if, for an invariant measurable subset $M \subset R$, i.e., $f^{-1}(M) = M$, either $\mu(M) = 1$ or $\mu(M) = 0$ holds.

Proposition 1 Let $f : R \to R$ be a 1-Lipschitz function. Then $f : R \to R$ is measure-preserving. \Leftrightarrow its reduced functions $f_{/n} : R/\pi^n R \to R/\pi^n R$ are bijective for all integers $n \ge 1$. $\Leftrightarrow f$ is an isometry; $|f(x) - f(y)|_{\pi} = |x - y|_{\pi}$ for all $x, y \in R$. $\Leftrightarrow f$ is onto.

Equivalent statements for ergodic functions

Proposition 2

A 1-Lipschitz function $f : R \to R$ is ergodic if and only if its reduced functions $f_{/n} : R/\pi^n R \to R/\pi^n R$ are transitive for all integers $n \ge 1$.

(• transitive = forming a cycle by repeating f)

Proposition 3

Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be an onto(MP-preserving) 1-Lipschitz function. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is minimal, meaning O_f(x) is dense in Z_p for every ∈ Z_p.
 (2) f is ergodic.
- (3) f is conjugate to the translation t(x) = x + 1 on \mathbb{Z}_p .

(4) *f* is uniquely ergodic, meaning there is only one ergodic measure.

Equivalent statements for ergodic functions

Proposition 2

A 1-Lipschitz function $f : R \to R$ is ergodic if and only if its reduced functions $f_{/n} : R/\pi^n R \to R/\pi^n R$ are transitive for all integers $n \ge 1$.

(• transitive = forming a cycle by repeating f)

Proposition 3

Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be an onto(MP-preserving) 1-Lipschitz function. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is minimal, meaning $\mathcal{O}_f(x)$ is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p for every $\in \mathbb{Z}_p$. (2) f is ergodic.
- (3) f is conjugate to the translation t(x) = x + 1 on \mathbb{Z}_p .

(4) f is uniquely ergodic, meaning there is only one ergodic measure.

 p^{α} -Bernoulli functions:= $(p^{-\alpha}, p^{\alpha})$ -locally scaling functions

Definition

We say that for a positive integer α , a p^{α} -expansive function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is p^{α} -Bernoulli if, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $x \equiv y \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$,

$$|f(x)-f(y)|=p^{\alpha}|x-y|.$$

Examples of Bernoulli functions on \mathbb{Z}_p :

- 1. $\binom{x}{p^{\alpha}}$ is p^{α} -Bernoulli.
- 2. Fermat quotient map $F(x) = \frac{x^p x}{p}$ is *p*-Bernoulli.
- 3. The generalised Collatz map $\phi_{p,q}(x)$ defined by

$$\phi_{p,q}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{p} & \text{if } p \mid x\\ \frac{qx - \varepsilon_0(qx)}{p} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is *p*-Bernoulli.

 p^{α} -Bernoulli functions:= $(p^{-\alpha}, p^{\alpha})$ -locally scaling functions

Definition

We say that for a positive integer α , a p^{α} -expansive function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is p^{α} -Bernoulli if, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $x \equiv y \pmod{p^{\alpha}}$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = p^{\alpha}|x - y|.$$

Examples of Bernoulli functions on \mathbb{Z}_p :

- 1. $\binom{x}{p^{\alpha}}$ is p^{α} -Bernoulli.
- 2. Fermat quotient map $F(x) = \frac{x^p x}{p}$ is *p*-Bernoulli.
- 3. The generalised Collatz map $\phi_{p,q}(x)$ defined by

$$\phi_{p,q}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{p} & \text{if } p \mid x\\ \frac{q_{X-\varepsilon_0(q_X)}}{p} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is *p*-Bernoulli.

Theorem (Kingsbery et al.)

If f is a p^{α} -Bernoulli function on \mathbb{Z}_p , then f is topologically and measurably isomorphic to $S^{(\alpha)}$, where $S^{(\alpha)}$ is the α th iterate of the shift map S on \mathbb{Z}_p defined by $S(x) = \frac{x-x_0}{p}$, where $x = x_0 + x_1p + \cdots$,

Definition

Two functions $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ are said to be topologically isomorphic if there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\Phi \circ f(x) = g \circ \Phi(x). \tag{1}$$

The maps are measurably isomorphic if there exists an invertible, measure-preserving map Φ such that (1) holds for almost all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem (Kingsbery et al.)

If f is a p^{α} -Bernoulli function on \mathbb{Z}_p , then f is topologically and measurably isomorphic to $S^{(\alpha)}$, where $S^{(\alpha)}$ is the α th iterate of the shift map S on \mathbb{Z}_p defined by $S(x) = \frac{x-x_0}{p}$, where $x = x_0 + x_1p + \cdots$,

Definition

Two functions $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ are said to be topologically isomorphic if there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\Phi \circ f(x) = g \circ \Phi(x). \tag{1}$$

The maps are measurably isomorphic if there exists an invertible, measure-preserving map Φ such that (1) holds for almost all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. • Non-Archimedean dynamical system(NADS) has many applications to mathematical physics, computer science, cryptography, and so on. In particular, it can be applied to cryptography in order to generate pseudo-random numbers(PRNG).

• Reference: "Applied Algebraic Dynamics" by Vladimir Anashin and Andrei Khrennikov

• **One-to-one correspondence** between NADS and other area(in a broad sense)

NADS	Automata Theory	Cryptography	Quantum M.
1-Lipschitz fun.	Autonomous fun.	T-fun.	Causality law
MP fun.	Reversible transd.	Bijective fun.	Reversible law
Ergodic fun.	?	Transitive fun.	?

Problems to be tackled:

For
$$(R, \pi, |?|_{\pi}) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, p, |?|_p)$$
 or $(\mathbb{F}_q[[T]], T, |?|_T)$,

we want to characterize dynamical properties of two types of functions $f : R \rightarrow R$;

(1) Measure-preservation/Ergodicity of a 1-Lipschitz function f(2) Bernoullicity/Measure-preservation/Ergodicity of an expansive function f

in terms of expansion coefficients $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of f expressed as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e_n(x)$$

where $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of the space C(R, K) of continuous functions on R to K.

Bases for the space C(R, K)

• *R*: the integer ring of a local field *K*: Here we are interested in two cases $(R, \pi, |?|_{\pi}) = (\mathbb{Z}_{p}, p, |?|_{p})$ or $(\mathbb{F}_{q}[[T]], T, |?|_{T})$

• C(R, K): the space of all continuous functions from R to K

It is a K-Banach space under $||f||_{sup} = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in R\}$

• We say that a sequence of functions $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ in C(R, K) is an orthonormal basis for C(R, K) if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) Every $f \in C(R, K)$ can be expanded uniquely as $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e_n$, with $a_n \in K \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. (2) The sup-norm of f is given by $||f|| = \max\{|a_n|\}$. • The table below is a list of bases for C(R, K) which are being used in non-Archimedean dynamical systems.

Rings	Classical case \mathbb{Z}_p	Function fields $\mathbb{F}_q[[T]]$
Bases	Mahler polynomials	Carlitz-Wagner polynomials
	van der Put	Analogue of van der Put
	<i>q</i> -Mahler	No analogue
	No analogue	Digit derivatives
	Digit shifts (NA)	Digit shifts

• Mahlar baisis on \mathbb{Z}_p = binomial coefficient polynomials

$$egin{pmatrix} x \ m \end{pmatrix} = rac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-m+1)}{m!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \ (m \geq 1) \ \ ext{and} \ \ egin{pmatrix} x \ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲国ト ▲国ト 三目 めんぐ

Mahler's theorem

Theorem

(1) {(^x_m)}_{m≥0} is an orthonormal basis of C(Z_p, Q_p). Every f ∈ C(Z_p, Q_p) can be expanded uniquely as f(x) = ∑[∞]_{m=0} a_m(^x_m) with a_m ∈ Q_p → 0 as m → ∞, with the sup-norm given by ||f||_{sup} = max_{m≥0}{|a_m|_p}.
(2) The coefficients {a_m}_{m>0} can be recovered by the formula:

$$a_m = \triangle^m f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} f(k).$$

• Difference operator: $\triangle f(x) := f(x+1) - f(x)$.

•
$$\triangle^n f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} \binom{x}{m}; \quad \triangle^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(x+k).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ 目 のへで

Van der Put's Theorem

• Van der Put functions $\{\chi(m, x)\}_{m \ge 0}$ are defined as the characteristic functions of certain balls $B_{n^{-\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor - 1}}(m)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$\chi(m,x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x-m| \leq p^{-\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor - 1}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

(1) $\{\chi(m, x)\}_{m\geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis for $C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. That is, every $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ can be expanded uniquely as $f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \chi(m, x)$, with $B_m \in \mathbb{Q}_p \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$, whose sup-norm is given by $||f||_{\sup} = \max_{m\geq 0} \{|B_m|\}$. (2) The coefficients B_m are determined by

$$B_m = \begin{cases} f(m) - f(m_-) & \text{if } m \ge p; \\ f(m) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Ergodicity of f on \mathbb{Z}_2 in Mahler's expansion

Theorem 1.(Anashin 1994, J 2013)

Let $f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} c_m {\binom{x}{m}} : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function. (1) f is measure-preserving if $c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and

$$c_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
 for all $m \ge 2$.

(2) f is ergodic whenever the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) c₀ ≠ 0 (mod p).
(ii)

$$c_1 \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p} & if \ p > 2; \\ 1 \pmod{4} & if \ p = 2. \end{cases}$$

(iii) $c_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lfloor \log_p(m+1) \rfloor + 1 - \lfloor \log_p(m) \rfloor}}$ for all $m \ge 2$. Moreover, in the case p = 2 these conditions are necessary.

• This result also works for the *q*-Mahler basis.

Theorem 2.(Anashin, Khrennikov and Yurova 2011, J 2013)

A 1- Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ represented as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor} b_m \chi(n, x) \ (b_m \in \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is ergodic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied: (1) $b_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$; $b_0 + b_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; $b_2 + b_3 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$; (2) $b_m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for all $m \ge 2$; (3) $\sum_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for all $m \ge 3$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ●

From now on, we assume that *p* is an odd prime.

Measure-preservation criterion in van der Put's expansion

Theorem 3.(Khrennikov and Yurova 2013) Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function in van der Put's expansion represented as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \chi(m, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} b_m \chi(m, x).$$

Then, f is measure-preserving if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(MP1) { $b_0 = f(0), \dots, b_{p-1} = f(p-1)$ } is a complete set of all distinct residues modulo p;

(MP2) For any integer $s \ge 1$, $0 \le k < p^s$, $\{b_{k+\ell p^s}\}_{1 \le \ell \le p-1}$ is a complete set of all distinct nonzero residues modulo p.

Remark: We give an alternative proof of this result using the arguments in the function field analog of the criterion of Khrennikov and Yurova.

Theorem 4. Let f be a p^{α} -Lipschitz function represented in van der Put's expansion as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{p^{\alpha}-1} B_m(f)\chi(m,x) + \sum_{m\geq p^{\alpha}}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor - \alpha} b_m(f)\chi(m,x),$$

where $b_m(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, f is p^{α} -Bernoulli if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(B1) For all $0 \le i < p^{\alpha}$, $\{f(i + \ell p^{\alpha})\}_{0 \le \ell \le p-1}$ is a complete set of all distinct residues modulo p;

(B2) For all $s \ge 1$, and all $0 \le i < p^{\alpha+s}$, $\{b_{i+\ell p^{\alpha+s}}(f)\}_{1 \le \ell \le p-1}$ is a complete set of distinct nonzero residues modulo p.

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 1.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is p^{α} -Lipschitz(expansive) if and only if, for every integer $0 \le i < p^{\alpha}$, $f_i : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a 1-Lipschitz function, where f_i is defined by $f_i(x) = f(i + p^{\alpha}x)$.

Lemma 2.

Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a p^{α} -Lipschitz function. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is a p^{α} -Bernoulli function.
- (2) For every integer $0 \le i < p^{\alpha}$, $|f_i(x) f_i(y)| = |x y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.
- (3) For every integer $0 \leq i < p^{\alpha}$, f_i is a measure-preserving 1-Lipschitz function on \mathbb{Z}_p .

• Use Theorem 3 and Lemma 2.(2) to prove Theorem 4.

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 1.

A function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is p^{α} -Lipschitz(expansive) if and only if, for every integer $0 \le i < p^{\alpha}$, $f_i : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a 1-Lipschitz function, where f_i is defined by $f_i(x) = f(i + p^{\alpha}x)$.

Lemma 2.

Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a p^{α} -Lipschitz function. Then, the following are equivalent:

(1) f is a p^{α} -Bernoulli function.

(2) For every integer $0 \le i < p^{\alpha}$, $|f_i(x) - f_i(y)| = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. (3) For every integer $0 \le i < p^{\alpha}$, f_i is a measure-preserving 1-Lipschitz function on \mathbb{Z}_p .

• Use Theorem 3 and Lemma 2.(2) to prove Theorem 4.

Conjecture for measure-preservation of 1-Lipschitz functions in Mahler's expansion

Conjecture A. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function represented in Mahler's expansion as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} c_m \binom{x}{m} \quad (c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Then, f is measure-preserving if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(p-1)\}$ is a complete set of all distinct residues modulo p;

(ii) For all $s \ge 1$ and all $m = m_- + m_s p^s$ with $0 \le m_- < p^s$ and $2 \le m_s \le p - 1$, $c_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. (iii) For all $s \ge 1$ and all $0 \le k < p^s$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{i=0}^{p^{r}-1} \binom{k}{i} c_{i+p^{r}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Known Results for Conjecture A

- What we proved for Conjecture A;
- 1. Conjecture A holds for p = 3.
- 2. The sufficiency of Conjecture A holds.
- 3. Conjecture A holds for functions in $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- Subclasses of 1-Lischitz dynamical systems:

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[x] \subset \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset \operatorname{Lip}_1(\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) :=$ the set of **B**-functions or locally analytic functions of order 1 on \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) := \{f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda_m \begin{pmatrix} x \\ m \end{pmatrix} : \frac{\lambda_m}{m!} \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad m = 0, 1, \cdots \}.$$

 $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) :=$ the set of 1-Lipschitz, uniformly differentiable modulo p functions on \mathbb{Z}_p of $N_1(f) = 1$.

• Try to prove that Conjecture A holds for functions in $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Definition of $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -functions

Definition.(Due to Anashin) A function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is uniformly differentiable modulo p^k if there exists a positive integer N and $\partial_k f(u) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that for any $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, the congruence

 $f(u+p^rh) \equiv f(u)+p^rh\partial_k f(u) \pmod{p^{k+r}}$

holds for any integer $r \ge N$ and any $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\partial_k f(u)$ does not depend on r and h. The smallest of these N is denoted by $N_k(f)$.

• $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) :=$ the set of a 1-Lipschitz, uniformly differentiable modulo p function on \mathbb{Z}_p of $N_1(f) = 1$.

• $f \in Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ if and only if for any $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, any integer $r \ge 1$ and any $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, the congruence holds:

$$f(u+p^r h) \equiv f(u)+p^r h \partial_1 f(u) \pmod{p^{1+r}},$$

with $\partial_1 f(u) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Conjecture A holds for $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -functions

Theorem 5. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -function represented in Mahler's expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} c_m \begin{pmatrix} x \\ m \end{pmatrix} \ (c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Then, f is measure-preserving if and only if

(a) $\{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(p-1)\}\$ is a complete set of all distinct residues modulo p;

(b) For all
$$0 \le k < p$$
,

$$c_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{k}{i} c_{i+p} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Remarks: 1. Condition (ii) of Conjecture A is redundant for functions in $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. 2. Any integer $s \ge 1$ in Condition (iii) equivalently reduces s = 1.

DQC

Key idea: interplay between coefficients of van der Put and Mahler

What we need to do is to compute the following congruence sums: for all s ≥ 1 and all 0 ≤ k < p^s,
(1) For all 0 ≤ i ≤ p − 3,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1}\ell^i\sigma(\ell):=\sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1}\ \ell^ib_{k+\ell p^s}\equiv 0\pmod{p};$$

(2)

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^{p-2} \sigma(\ell) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ \ell^{p-2} b_{k+\ell p^s} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*} \to \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}, \ell \mapsto b_{k+\ell p^{s}} := p^{-s}B_{k+\ell p^{s}} \pmod{p}$. • From K-Y criterion and Lagrange interpolation, f is MP if and only if σ is a permutation on \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*} , together with condition (i) of Conjecture A.

Properties of 1-Lipschitz functions

• Gregory-Newton formula: For all integers $n \ge 0$ and all functions f with coefficients in an extension field of \mathbb{Q} ,

$$f(x+n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \triangle^{i} f(x) \binom{n}{i}$$

Proposition.(V. Anashin) (1) A continuous function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is 1-Lipschitz if and only if, for every integer $n \ge 1$, $\frac{\triangle^n f(x)}{n}$ is an integer-valued function. (2) Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and let a base-*p* expansion of *n* contain more than one nonzero digits (i.e., $n \ne tp^r$ for $r \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}, t \in \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$). Then,

$$\frac{\triangle^n f(k)}{n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

More properties of 1-Lipschitz functions

• What is
$$\frac{\Delta^{tp^{\sigma}}f(k)}{p^{s}}$$
 if $n = tp^{s}$, where $1 \le t \le p - 1$?

Lemma 3. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function in van der Put's expansion represented as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \chi(m, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} b_m \chi(m, x).$$

Let $s \ge 1$ be an integer and k be an integer such that $0 \le k < p^s$. Then the following hold: (1) For all $2 \le t \le p - 1$,

$$\frac{\triangle^{tp^s}f(k)}{p^s} \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^t (-1)^{t+\ell} \binom{t}{\ell} b_{k+\ell p^s} \pmod{p}.$$

 $(2) \ \frac{\triangle^{p^s} f(k)}{p^s} \equiv b_{k+p^s} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \frac{f(k+\ell p^{s-1}) - f(k)}{\ell p^{s-1}} \ (\text{mod } p).$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

More properties of 1-Lipschitz functions

• In light of Lemma 3, we have the following inversion formula between $\frac{\Delta^{tp^s}f(k)}{p^s}$ and b_{k+tp^s} .

Lemma 4. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function in van der Put's expansion represented as before. Let $s \ge 1$ be an integer and k be an integer such that $0 \le k < p^s$. For all $1 \le t \le p - 1$,

$$b_{k+tp^s} \equiv tA_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^t {t \choose \ell} rac{ riangle^{\ell p^s} f(k)}{p^s} \pmod{p},$$

where

$$A_0 \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{\triangle^{\ell p^r} f(k)}{\ell p^r} \pmod{p}.$$

◆□> ◆舂> ◆注> ◆注> 注:

More properties of 1-Lipschitz functions

• We are now ready to compute the sums in question: for $0 \le i \le p-3$ or i = p-2, $\sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^i b_{k+\ell p^s}$.

Lemma 5. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function represented in van der Put's expansion as before.

(1) For all $0 \le i \le p - 3$, all $s \ge 1$ and all $0 \le k < p^s$,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^i b_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv \sum_{t=2}^{p-1} \sum_{\ell=t}^{p-1} \ell^i \binom{\ell}{t} \frac{\triangle^{tp^s} f(k)}{p^s} \pmod{p}.$$

(2)

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^{p-2} b_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{\ell} \frac{\triangle^{\ell p^r} f(k)}{\ell p^r} \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell} \binom{k}{i} c_{\ell p^r+i} \pmod{p}$$

Equivalent properties for 1-Lipschitz functions

Lemma 6. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function represented in the expansions of van der Put and Mahler.

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} c_m \begin{pmatrix} x \\ m \end{pmatrix} (c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p);$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} b_m \chi(m, x) (b_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

The following are equivalent: For all $s \ge 1$ and $0 \le k < p^s$, and $2 \le \ell \le p - 1$. (1) $\sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^i b_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $i = 0, \dots, p - 3$. (2) $\frac{\triangle^{\ell p^s} f(k)}{p^s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. (3) $c_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. (4) $b_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv \ell b_{k+p^s} \pmod{p}$. (5) $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (-1)^j {\ell \choose j} b_{k+jp^s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Conjecture A holds for $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -functions

Theorem 5. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -function represented in Mahler's expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} c_m \begin{pmatrix} x \\ m \end{pmatrix} \ (c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Then, f is measure-preserving if and only if (a) $\{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(p-1)\}$ is a complete set of all distinct residues modulo p;

(b) For all $0 \le k < p$,

$$c_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{k}{i} c_{i+p} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 5.

Proof(of reduction of any $s \ge 1$ to 1) Let f be in $Udm^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. For all $s \ge 1$, $0 \le k < p^s$, and $1 \le \ell < p$,

$$b_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv \ell b_{k+p^s} \pmod{p} \tag{2}$$

$$b_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv \ell \partial_1 f(k) \pmod{p}. \tag{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_{k+p^s} \equiv \partial_1 f(k) \equiv \partial_1(\bar{k}) \equiv b_{\bar{k}+p} \pmod{p}$$

(becasue $\partial_1 f(u)$ is $1 - Lipschitz$ in the middle and (7) with $s = 1$.)

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^{p-2} b_{k+\ell p^{s}} \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^{p-2} b_{\bar{k}+\ell p} \equiv -b_{\bar{k}+p} \pmod{p} \quad (by \ FLT)$$
$$\equiv c_{1} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{\bar{k}}{i} c_{i+p} \pmod{p} \quad (by \ Lemma \ 5(2))$$

$$(2) \Rightarrow \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^i b_{k+\ell p^s} \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \ell^{i+1} b_{\overline{k}+p} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \text{ for } 0 \le i \le p-3.$$

Bernoullicity of 2^{α} -Lipschitz functions

• We turn to Bernoullicity of p^{α} -Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p . **Theorem 6.** Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a 2^{α} -Lipschitz function represented in Mahler's expansion as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{2^{\alpha}-1} a_m \binom{x}{m} + \sum_{m \ge 2^{\alpha}} 2^{\lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor - \alpha} c_m \binom{x}{m}.$$

The function f is 2^{α} -Bernoulli if and only if the following conditions are satisfied: For all $s \ge 0$ and $0 \le i < 2^{\alpha+s}$,

$$\sum_{r=\alpha}^{\alpha+s}\sum_{j=0}^{2^r-1}\binom{i}{j}c_{2^r+j}\equiv 1\pmod{2}.$$

• Proof follows from the following corollary using Bernoullicity criteria (Theorem 4):

Corollary. A function $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is 2^k -Bernoulli if and only if, for all $s \ge 0$ and $0 \le i < 2^{k+s}$,

$$f(i+2^{k+s}) \equiv f(i)+2^s \pmod{2^{s+1}}.$$

Bernoullicity of p^{α} -Lipschitz functions where p is an odd prime

Theorem 7. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a p^{α} -Lipschitz function represented in Mahler's expansion as $f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{p^{\alpha}-1} a_m {x \choose m} + \sum_{m \ge p^{\alpha}} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor - \alpha} c_m {x \choose m}$. The function f is p^{α} -Bernoulli whenever the following conditions are satisfied: (1) For all $0 \le i < p^{\alpha}$, $\{f(i + \ell p^{\alpha})\}_{0 \le \ell \le p-1}$ is a complete set of all distinct residues modulo p; (2) For all $m = m_{-} + m_{s}p^{s} > p^{\alpha+1}$ with $1 < m_{s} < p$. $c_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p};$ (3) For all $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i < p^{\alpha+s}$, $\sum_{m=1}^{n+s}\sum_{p'=1}^{p'-1}\binom{i}{m}c_{p'+m}\not\equiv 0\pmod{p}.$

Conversely, if f is a p^{α} -Bernoulli function satisfying a certain hypothesis (H), these conditions are necessary.

Bernoullicity of p^{α} -Lipschitz functions where p is odd prime

Hypothesis (H) For all $s \ge 1$, $0 \le i < p^{\alpha+s}$ and $1 \le \ell < p$,

$$f(i + \ell p^{\alpha+s}) - f(i) \equiv \varepsilon \ell p^s \pmod{p^{s+1}}$$

for some integer ε with $p \nmid \varepsilon$ that does not depend on ℓ . Such functions include

(i) beta-transformations T_{β} on \mathbb{Z}_p with $|\beta| = p^{\alpha} (\alpha \ge 1)$, which are complete generalizations of the shift maps on \mathbb{Z}_p ; (ii) p^{α} -Bernoulli polynomial functions $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ with additional assumptions that $|f^{(j)}(x)| \le p^{j\alpha}$ for all $j \ge 1$, where $f^{(j)}$ denotes the *j*th derivative of *f*.

Root existence of 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p

Recall Hensel's Lemma: $\mathbb{Z}_p[x] \subset \operatorname{Lip}_1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

Hensel's Lemma for polynomials

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ be a polynomial. Suppose there exists $ar{h} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that

$$f(\bar{h}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } f'(\bar{h}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then there exists a unique $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$f(h) = 0$$
 and $h \equiv \overline{h} \pmod{p}$.

Hensel's Lemma for analytic functions

Let $f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} c_n x^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ be an analytic function on \mathbb{Z}_p . Suppose there exists $\bar{h} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $f(\bar{h}) \equiv 0$ (mod p) and $f'(\bar{h}) \not\equiv 0$ (mod p). Then there exists a unique $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$f(h) = 0 \text{ and } h \equiv \overline{h} \pmod{p}_{\overline{a}}$$

Root existence of 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p

Recall Hensel's Lemma: $\mathbb{Z}_p[x] \subset \operatorname{Lip}_1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

Hensel's Lemma for polynomials

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ be a polynomial. Suppose there exists $\bar{h} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that

$$f(\bar{h}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } f'(\bar{h}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then there exists a unique $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$f(h) = 0$$
 and $h \equiv \overline{h} \pmod{p}$.

Hensel's Lemma for analytic functions

Let $f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} c_n x^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ be an analytic function on \mathbb{Z}_p . Suppose there exists $\overline{h} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $f(\overline{h}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $f'(\overline{h}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then there exists a unique $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$f(h) = 0 \text{ and } h \equiv \overline{h} \pmod{p}.$$

Root of 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p in van der Put's expansion

• Generalization of Hensel's lemma for 1-Lipschitz(not necessarily differentiable) functions on \mathbb{Z}_p .(Because the Theorem implies HL.) **Theorem 8.**(Yurova and Khrennikov 2016) Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz function represented in van der Put's expansion as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} b_m \chi(m, x) \quad (b_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Suppose that f satisfies the following two assumptions: (1) For some natural number R, there exists $\bar{h} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^R - 1\}$ such that $f(\bar{h}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^R}$. (2) For any $m \ge p^R$ such that $m \equiv \bar{h} \pmod{p^R}$, $\{b_{m+tp^{1+\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor}}\}_{1 \le t \le p-1}$ is a complete set of nonzero residues modulo p. Then there exists a unique $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that f(h) = 0 and $h \equiv \bar{h}$

(mod *p*^{*R*}).

Root of 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p in Mahler's expansion

Theorem 9. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be 1-Lipschitz function represented in Mahler's expansion as

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} c_m \begin{pmatrix} x \\ m \end{pmatrix} \ (c_m \in \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Suppose f satisfies the following conditions:

(1) For some natural number R, there exists $\overline{h} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^R - 1\}$ such that $f(\overline{h}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^R}$. (2) For all $s \ge 1$ and all $m = m_- + m_s p^s$ with $0 \le m_- < p^s$ and $2 \le m_s \le p - 1$,

 $c_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(3) For all $m \ge p^R$ such that $m \equiv \overline{h} \pmod{p^R}$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1+\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{p^r-1} \binom{m}{i} c_{i+p^r} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then, there exists a unique $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that f(h) = 0 and $h \equiv \overline{h}$ (mod p^R).

Corollary

Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a 1-Lipschitz, uniformly differentiable modulo p function of $N_1(f) = 1$, represented in Mahler's expansion as before. Suppose f satisfies the following conditions:

(1) There exists $\bar{h} \in \{0, 1, \cdots, p-1\}$ such that $f(\bar{h}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (2) For only \bar{h} ,

$$c_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} {\bar{h} \choose i} c_{i+p} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then, there exists a unique $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that f(h) = 0 and $h \equiv \overline{h} \pmod{p}$.

Thank you for your attention !!!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Properties of **B**-functions

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p) := \{f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda_m \binom{x}{m} : \frac{\lambda_m}{m!} \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad m = 0, 1, \cdots \}.$$

Proposition

(1) The class $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the space of differentiable everywhere, 1-Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{Z}_p .

(2) This class is closed under addition, multiplication,

differentiation, and composition.

(3) The countable set of all polynomials with non-negative rational integer coefficients is a dense subset of $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. (4) Every $f \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has a Taylor expansion at all points $x = a \in \mathbb{Z}$; for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and c = 1, we have

 $x = a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$: for $a, h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $s = 1, \cdots$, we have

$$f(a+p^{s}h)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{f^{(m)}(a)}{m!}(p^{s}h)^{m},$$

where $\frac{f^{(m)}(a)}{m!}$ are *p*-adic integers for all $m \ge 0$.